

Therapeutic Class Review Selective Serotonin Agonists

Overview/Summary

Migraine is a chronic neurovascular inherited disorder. Classical features of a migraine attack include a pulsating, throbbing headache that can last up to 24 hours; it is often accompanied by nausea, photophobia, lightheadedness, and vomiting. Migraine affects approximately 18% of women and 6% of men in the United States (US). The introduction of the selective serotonin agonists, or triptans, was an important advancement for the treatment of migraine. Currently, 7 single-entity selective serotonin (5-hydroxytryptamine, or 5-HT₁) receptor agonists and 1 combination product (Treximet[®]; sumatriptan/naproxen sodium) are available in the US.

Sumatriptan, the first of the triptans, was introduced in the US in 1993 as a subcutaneous (SC) dosage form that was followed by oral and intranasal formulations. Six second-generation 5-HT₁ agonists were later approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA): zolmitriptan (1997), naratriptan (1998), rizatriptan (1998), almotriptan (2001), frovatriptan (2001) and eletriptan (2002). The combination product sumatriptan/naproxen sodium was approved by the FDA in 2008. Currently, only sumatriptan is available generically.

The 5-HT₁ agonists are chemically and structurally related to the neurotransmitter 5-hydroxytryptamine, which is present in the blood and in the peripheral and central nervous systems.² These drugs are potent, highly selective 5-HT₁ receptor agonists, with no significant affinity for other 5-HT subgroups.

The 5-HT₁ receptor agonists stimulate the serotonin receptors located on cerebral vessels to redistribute blood flow and relieve pain. The result is a decrease in neurologic-mediated plasma protein leakage, and thus a decrease in hemicranial pain and vasodilation associated with neurogenic inflammation.³

Medications

Table 1. Medications Included Within Class Review

Generic Name (Trade name)	Medication Class	Generic Availability
Single Entity Products		
Almotriptan (Axert®)	Selective Serotonin Agonists	-
Eletriptan (Relpax®)	Selective Serotonin Agonists	-
Frovatriptan (Frova®)	Selective Serotonin Agonists	-
Naratriptan (Amerge®)	Selective Serotonin Agonists	-
Rizatriptan (Maxalt [®] , Maxalt MLT [®])	Selective Serotonin Agonists	-
Sumatriptan (Imitrex®)	Selective Serotonin Agonists	>
Zolmitriptan (Zomig [®] , Zomig ZMT [®])	Selective Serotonin Agonists	-
Combination Products		
Sumatriptan/naproxen (Treximet®)	Selective Serotonin Agonists	-





Indications

Table 2. Food and Drug Administration Approved Indications⁴⁻¹²

Generic Name	Migraine, Acute, with or without Aura	Cluster Headache
Single Entity Product		
Almotriptan	✓	
Eletriptan	✓	
Frovatriptan	✓	
Naratriptan	✓	
Rizatriptan	✓	
Sumatriptan	✓	✓ (subcutaneous)
Zolmitriptan	✓	
Combination Product		
Sumatriptan/naproxen	✓	

Pharmacokinetics

There are differences in the pharmacokinetic parameters of the selective serotonin agonists. Since the development of sumatriptan, alternative agents have been designed. In general, these new drugs, also known as second generation selective serotonin agonists, have higher bioavailability, and a longer plasma half-life. Sumatriptan, rizatriptan, and zolmitriptan have the most rapid onset of action. A longer half-life and increased brain penetration may prevent headache recurrences.¹³

Table 3. Pharmacokinetics¹⁴

Generic Name	Dose and Route of Administration	Onset (hours)	T _{max} (hours)	Bio- availability (%)	Serum Half-Life (hours)	Plasma Protein Binding (%)
Single Entity A	Agents					
Almotriptan	12.5 mg PO	0.5-2	2.5	80	3.1	35
Amothplan	25 mg PO	0.5-2	2.7	69	3.6	33
Eletriptan	20 mg PO	1	2	≈50	≈4	≈85
Frovatriptan	2.5 mg PO	2-3	3	29.6	25.7	≈15
Tiovaliiplaii	40 mg PO	2-3	5	17.5	29.7	~13
Naratriptan	2.5 mg PO	1-3	2	74	5.5	28
Rizatriptan	10 mg PO	0.5-2	1 (ODT: 1.6-2.5)	40	2	14
	6 mg SC	0.2	0.17	96	2	
Sumatriptan	100 mg PO	0.5-1	1.5	14	2	14-21
	20 mg IN	0.25-0.3	1.5	15.8	1.8	
	2.5 mg PO	0.75	1.5 (ODT: 3)	39	2.3/2.6*	
Zolmitriptan	5 mg PO	0.73	1.5 (ODT: 3)	46	3	≈25
	5 mg IN	0.25	3	102 [†]	≈3	
Combination I	Products					
Sumatriptan /naproxen	85 mg/500 mg PO	1/5	1/5	15/95	2/19	14-21/99

IN=intranasal, ODT=orally disintegrating tablet, PO=oral, SC=subcutaneous





^{*}Values for men and women, respectively.

[†]Compared with oral tablet.

Clinical Trials

Clinical trials have demonstrated that 5-HT₁ receptor agonists are highly effective in treating and providing relief from migraine headache attacks with or without the presence of aura, cluster headaches and menstrual-related migraines. There is a plethora of clinical data that compares the efficacy and safety of the individual triptan products for the treatment and acute management of these headache disorders. National and international treatment guidelines recognize the efficacy and safety of acute treatment with triptans and note that all available agents are considered equally efficacious; giving no preferential status to one agent over another.

Numerous clinical trials have compared the triptans to placebo and to other agents in the same class. The inclusion criteria of these studies were designed to create a study population that most closely mimics the general population that is affected by migraine headaches. The studies included adult patients with migraine headaches, and the general results of these trials have established the efficacy of these agents in the treatment of migraine with or without aura by improving patient reported signs and symptoms of migraine attacks; however, no particular agent overall has been found to be consistently more efficacious than another agent.

Sumatriptan/naproxen was significantly more effective in achieving headache pain relief measured by the reduction of moderate-severe pain intensity to mild intensity or no pain without the use of rescue medications compared to placebo in controlled trials. Sumatriptan/naproxen was also compared to each individual component separately and was shown to be significantly more effective in achieving headache pain relief; however there are no head-to-head trials comparing sumatriptan/naproxen to other triptans or to sumatriptan along with naproxen as separate therapies administered concurrently. 66-70

Although limited in the number of clinical trials conducted, the use of triptans has been shown to be clinically efficacious in treating menstrual-related migraines. Although not statistically significant, the use of almotriptan and zolmitriptan demonstrated a clinical response in 67.9% and 68.6% of the almotriptan and zolmitriptan-treated women after two hours from dosing. Recurrence rates within 24 hours of dosing were similar among the treatment groups, as was the sustained pain-free assessments among treated women. Frovatriptan was efficacious, proving to be better than placebo in treating menstrual-related migraine headaches. Twice daily dosing of frovatriptan was determined to be more efficacious than once-daily administration (*P*<0.0001).

Sumatriptan administered subcutaneously (SQ) is the only product with a Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-labeled indication for managing cluster headaches. Sumatriptan administered SQ in a small clinical trial was reported as successful in 88% of all attacks. A separate single-dose study illustrated the efficacy of sumatriptan 6 mg and 12 mg SQ vs placebo, with relief being reported in 75% and 80% of patients treated with 6 mg and 12 mg, respectively (*P*<0.001); however the analysis between the two sumatriptan strengths was not statistically significant. Additionally, frovatriptan has also been shown to have positive results in combating cluster headaches. In a small trial of episodic and chronic cluster headache sufferers, frovatriptan provided at least 75% improvement in 8 of 9 patients with episodic cluster headaches, and 100% migraine relief within 48 hours. Three of 8 patients with chronic cluster headaches were reported to have had complete relief by study endpoint.





Table 4. Clinical Trials

Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Migraine With or Without A		Duration		
Cabarrocas et al ¹⁵	OL	N=747	Primary:	Primary:
Almotriptan 12.5 mg		1 year	Headache response rates at 1 and 2 hours	Headache response rates at 1 and 2 hours were 43% and 73%, respectively (<i>P</i> value not reported).
			Secondary: Safety and efficacy	Secondary: The most common adverse effects were back pain, bronchitis, and flu-like symptoms (<i>P</i> value not reported).
Diener et al ¹⁶	DB, MC, PC, RCT	N=328	Primary: Relief from headache	Primary: In the almotriptan group, 47.5% of patients achieved pain relief at 2
Almotriptan 12.5 mg	Eligible patients were adults aged	1 attack	at 2 hours after dosing	hours after dosing which was significantly higher percentage than in the placebo group, 23.2% (<i>P</i> <0.01).
VS	18 to 65 years who had suffered from		Secondary: Pain-free efficacy at 2	Secondary:
placebo	migraine with or without aura for at		hours, and use of rescue medication	A significantly higher number of patients treated with almotriptan 12.5 mg achieved pain-free status at 2 hours than with placebo (33.3% vs
All patients were poor responders to sumatriptan	least 1 year, and had experienced		within 24 hours	14.1%; <i>P</i> <0.005).
50 mg.	unsatisfactory responses to sumatriptan on at least two occasions			Rescue medications were required by significantly fewer patients in the almotriptan group than with placebo (26.6% vs 46.9%; <i>P</i> <0.005).
Pascual et al ¹⁷	DB, OL	N=762	Primary:	Primary:
Almotriptan 6.25 mg	Patients 18-65 years old with at	1 year	The primary measure of tolerability was the incidence of treatment-	During the study, 391 patients receiving active drug (51.3%) experienced at least 1 adverse event. Patients reported at least 1 adverse event in 11.0% of attacks treated. The incidence of adverse
VS	least 1 year history of migraine, with or		emergent adverse events (including	events decreased during the study; 30.7% of patients had at least 1 adverse event during the first 3 months in the study compared with
almotriptan 12.5 mg	without aura, all patients		abnormalities in clinical laboratory tests, ECG,	only 21.5% during the last 3 months.
	experienced 1-6 migraine attacks per month with at		vital signs or physical examination)	The majority (88.6%) of adverse events were of mild-to-moderate intensity. Only 28.8% of adverse events were considered to be possibly, probably or definitely related to the study drug. Of these
	least 24 hours of freedom between		Secondary: Percent of attacks	drug-related events, those which occurred in at least 1.0% of patients were vomiting (2.1%), somnolence (1.7%), dizziness (1.6%), fatigue





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Dowson et al ¹⁸ Almotriptan 12.5 mg x 1 dose vs almotriptan 25 mg x 1 dose vs sumatriptan 100 mg x 1 dose vs placebo x 1 dose Vs placebo x 1 dose All study medications were administered during a migraine attack. A second dose was allowed if headache relapsed in 2-24 hours after first dose. Escape medication was allowed if pain persisted beyond 2 hours.	DB, MC, PC, PG, RCT, SD Patients 18-65 years old with migraine with or without aura for >1 year	N=668 Single dose	resolved (to mild or no pain) by 2 hours after dose (attacks of moderate/severe baseline intensity only) Primary: Relief from migraine pain at 2 hours after dosing Secondary: Relief from migraine pain at 1 hour, painfree status at 1 and 2 hours, migraine recurrence within 24 hours post-dose, need for escape medication	(1.4%) and nausea (1.4%; <i>P</i> values not reported). Secondary: Pain relief at 2 hours after the initial dose was achieved in 84.2% of moderate/severe attacks. Patients were pain free at 2 hours after dose in 58.2% of all attacks (<i>P</i> values not reported). Primary: Pain relief was higher in the treatment groups vs placebo as follows: almotriptan 12.5 mg=56.8% (achieved pain relief), almotriptan 25 mg=56.5%, sumatriptan 100 mg=63.7%, placebo=42.2% (<i>P</i> values not reported). Both doses of almotriptan were equivalent to sumatriptan 100 mg with the 90% CI interval inside the range of the equivalence region. Secondary: Relief from migraine pain at 1 hour was not statistically different for all three treatment arms. Migraine recurrence within 24 hours post-dose for patients with moderate pain at baseline was reported as follows: almotriptan 12.5 mg=22.7%, almotriptan 25 mg=14.9%, sumatriptan 100 mg=22.4%, placebo=16.7% (<i>P</i> values not reported). Migraine recurrence within 24 hours post-dose for patients with severe pain at baseline was reported as follows: almotriptan 12.5 mg=8.8%, almotriptan 25 mg=16.2%, sumatriptan 100 mg=28.9%, placebo=27.3% (<i>P</i> values not reported). The use of escape medication was reported as follows: almotriptan 12.5 mg=38.6%, almotriptan 25 mg=38.2%, sumatriptan 100 mg=32.4%, placebo=55.5% (<i>P</i> values not reported).





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Dahlof et al ¹⁹ Almotriptan 2 mg single dose given at onset of moderate or severe migraine attack vs almotriptan 6.25 mg single dose given at onset of moderate or severe migraine attack vs almotriptan 12.5 mg single dose given at onset of moderate or severe migraine attack vs almotriptan 25 mg single dose given at onset of moderate or severe migraine attack vs placebo single dose given at onset of moderate or severe migraine attack Another dose of study drug was allowed if pain severity	DB, MC, PC, PG, RCT Patients 18-65 years old with migraine with or without aura for >1 year, migraines occurring one-six times per month	N=742 Single dose	Primary: Change in headache pain intensity at 2 hours without rescue medication Secondary: Freedom from pain, relief from migraine-associated symptoms	Primary: Almotriptan demonstrated a dose-dependent increase in the number of patients with improvement in headache pain intensity (58.5% and 66.5% improvement for the 12.5 and 25 mg doses, respectively, compared to 32.5% for placebo; <i>P</i> <0.001). Almotriptan 2 mg was equivalent to placebo. Secondary: With regards to freedom from pain, almotriptan produced a significant dose-dependent increase over placebo at 1, 1.5 and 2 hours (<i>P</i> <0.0001). Almotriptan 12.5 mg produced significant improvement compared to placebo at 0.5 hours (<i>P</i> <0.0485). Almotriptan demonstrated a significant dose-dependent improvement in pain-free state at 2 hours both with almotriptan 12.5 mg and almotriptan 25 mg compared to placebo (<i>P</i> <0.001). A significantly better response was observed for patients with baseline moderate headache than patients with severe headache. A dose-dependent decrease in the incidence of migraine-associated symptoms was noted for almotriptan. The incidence of migraine recurrence was not significantly different among the treatment groups, ranging from 25.2% to 28.7%.





Study and	Study Design	Sample Size	End Points	Results
Drug negilileli	Demographics	Duration		
increased within 2-24 hours. Escape medication was allowed if pain did not decrease after 2 hours. Dahlof et al ²⁰ Almotriptan 2.0 mg vs almotriptan 5.0 mg vs almotriptan 6.25 mg vs almotriptan 12.5 mg vs almotriptan 25 mg vs	and	and Study	Primary: Efficacy, speed of onset and tolerability of almotriptan in the acute treatment of migraine; percentage (proportion) of patients achieving sustained pain free with no adverse events (no drug vs drug comparisons were made) Secondary: Not reported	Primary: As early as 30 minutes after dosing, almotriptan 12.5 mg was significantly more effective than placebo for pain relief (14.9% vs 8.2%; <i>P</i> <0.05) and pain free (2.5% vs 0.7%; <i>P</i> <0.05). At 2 hours, pain-relief rates were 56.0%, 63.7% and 66.0% for almotriptan 6.25, 12.5 and 25 mg, respectively, compared with 35.0% for placebo; 2-hour pain-free rates were 26.7%, 36.4% and 43.4% compared with 13.9% for placebo. All almotriptan dosages were significantly more effective than placebo in eliminating migraine-associated symptoms (<i>P</i> <0.05) and in achieving sustained pain relief up to 24 hours (<i>P</i> <0.05). The incidence of adverse events for almotriptan 6.25 and 12.5 mg was not significantly different from that of placebo. Secondary: Not reported
almotriptan 100 mg				
vs				
almotriptan 150 mg				
vs				
placebo				





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Colman et al ²¹	DB, RCT	N= 1,173	Primary: Change in treatment	Primary: There were no significant differences between the 2 treatment groups
Almotriptan 12.5 mg	Patients aged 18- 71 years who had	48 hours	satisfaction measure, functional status	in terms of satisfaction with pain relief (mean score 50.85 for almotriptan and 52.10 for sumatriptan; <i>P</i> =0.67).
VS	not been treated previously with a		measure, and MqoLQ values from baseline to	Functional status was not significantly different. Both groups
sumatriptan 50 mg	triptan, suffering with migraine with		48 hours	improved by ~44 points on the 100-point functional status scale after 24 hours. Patients from both groups reported improvement in
	or without aura for ≥6 months		Secondary: Not reported	functional status after treatment, from marginally functional at onset of migraine (mean scores for almotriptan and sumatriptan, 42.54 and 42.50 respectively) to ~90% of normal (mean scores 86.49 and 86.99, respectively) at 24 hours.
				Similarly, no difference was found between the 2 treatment groups in a comparison of MqoLQ at 24 hours after treatment.
				Patients in the almotriptan group were significantly more satisfied and experienced fewer side effects than patients receiving sumatriptan (<i>P</i> =0.016).
				Secondary: Not reported
Spierings et al ²²	DB, MC, PG, R	N=1,255	Primary:	Primary:
Almotriptan 12.5 mg	Men and women between 18 and 65	24 hours	Headache relief from moderate or severe to mild or no headache	Headache relief at 2 hours was observed in 58.0% of patients in the almotriptan group and 57.3% of patients in the sumatriptan group with no significant difference between the groups. Pain-free response
vs	years who suffered from migraine with		and pain-free status at 2 hours	rate at 2 hours was observed in 17.9% of patients in the almotriptan group and 24.6% of patients in the sumatriptan group (P =0.005) in
sumatriptan 50 mg	or without aura		0	favor of sumatriptan.
			Secondary: Migraine relief and	Secondary:
			freedom from	There was no significant difference between the groups with regards
			headache pain at 0.5	to relief from migraine-associated symptoms of nausea, vomiting,
			and 1 hours after intake of study medication,	photophobia, and phonophobia.
			improvement of	Rescue medications were taken by 36.7% of almotriptan patients and





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Olesen et al ²³ Eletriptan 80 mg vs placebo	DB, PC, R Male and female patients aged 18 years and older with migraine with aura every 4 weeks	N=123 24 hours	migraine associated symptoms, incidence of migraine recurrence at 24 hours after dosing, and the use of rescue medication Primary: Proportion of subjects not developing a migraine headache of moderate or severe intensity within 6 hours of dosing with a double-blind study drug Secondary: Time to headache development, duration of aura symptoms, use of second dose, response to the second dose, use of rescue medication, treatment acceptability, and time to rescue medication	33.2% of sumatriptan patients (<i>P</i> value not reported). Of the 343 responders in the almotriptan group, 27.4% experienced a migraine recurrence within 24 hours, compared to 24.0% of the 333 responders in the sumatriptan group. The differences were not statistically significant (<i>P</i> value not reported). Primary: Treatment with eletriptan during the aura phase was not effective in preventing the onset of moderate-to-severe headache post-aura. There was no significant difference in the proportions of patients developing a headache on eletriptan (61%) compared with placebo (46%; <i>P</i> value not reported). Secondary: Eletriptan did not increase the duration of the aura phase compared with placebo (0.7 hour vs 0.8 hour), nor was it associated with a significant delay in the median time to headache onset (1.3 hour vs 1.0 hour; <i>P</i> value not reported). A second dose of eletriptan 40 mg was permitted for patients in both the eletriptan and placebo treatment groups who developed a moderate-to-severe headache. Response rates to the 40-mg dose of eletriptan were similar in both (initial) treatment groups (<i>P</i> value not reported). Additional rescue medication was taken by 28% of patients initially randomized to eletriptan 80 mg, and by 17% of patients initially randomized to placebo (<i>P</i> value not reported). The percentage of patients rating study medication as acceptable was comparable for both eletriptan and placebo (76% vs 72%; <i>P</i> value not reported).
				measure.





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Farkkila et al ²⁴	DB, MC, PC, R	N=446	Primary: 2-hour headache	Primary: 2-hour headache response, based on first-dose, first-attack data, was
Eletriptan 40 mg	Male and female subjects age ≥18	3 migraine attacks	response rates	59% for eletriptan 40 mg, 70% for eletriptan 80 mg and 30% for placebo (<i>P</i> <0.0001 for both doses of eletriptan vs placebo; <i>P</i> <0.05 for
VS	years with HIS diagnostic criteria		Secondary: Onset of action, 2-hour	eletriptan 80 mg vs eletriptan 40 mg).
eletriptan 80 mg	for migraine, with or without aura		pain-free response rates, incidence of	Secondary: Onset of action was rapid, with 1-hour headache response rates
VS			nausea, vomiting and headache recurrence,	significantly higher for eletriptan 40 mg and eletriptan 80 mg vs placebo (40%, 48%, 15%; <i>P</i> <0.0005).
placebo			consistency of response	Both eletriptan 40 mg and eletriptan 80 mg were significantly better than placebo, based on first-dose, first-attack data, for 2-hour painfree response (35%, 42% and 7%; <i>P</i> <0.0001).
				Both eletriptan 40 mg and eletriptan 80 mg demonstrated significant consistency of response, with headache relief rates at 2 hours on at least two of three attacks of 66% and 72%, respectively, vs 15% on placebo (<i>P</i> <0.001).
Garcia-Ramos et al ²⁵	DB, PG, R	N=548	Primary: Headache response at	Primary: Headache response rates at 2 hours and 4 hours, respectively, were
Eletriptan 40 mg	Male or female adults, aged 18–80	Single attack	2 hours after the first dose of study	56% and 80% for eletriptan, 42% and 67% for naratriptan (<i>P</i> <0.01 for both time-points vs eletriptan), and 31% and 44% for placebo
VS	years with migraine with or without aura		medication	(P<0.0001 vs both active drugs at both time-points).
naratriptan 2.5 mg	and who reported a minimum of 1 acute		Secondary: Headache response at	Secondary: Headache response was also significantly higher for eletriptan at 1
vs	migraine attack every 6 weeks		0.5, 1, 4 and 24 hours; pain-free response at	hour and 4 hours, respectively, compared with both naratriptan (34% vs 25%; <i>P</i> <0.05; 80% vs 67%; <i>P</i> <0.01) and placebo (21%; <i>P</i> <0.01;
placebo			0.5, 1, 2, 4 and 24 hours; presence or	44%; <i>P</i> <0.0001).
			absence of associated symptoms at the same time-points; functional status; headache	Headache response rates were not significantly different from placebo at 30 minutes for either eletriptan (12% vs 5%; P =0.063) or for naratriptan (9%; P =0.391 vs placebo).
			recurrence and time-to-	Eletriptan showed higher pain-free rates at both 2 and 4 hours (35%





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
			headache-recurrence; use of rescue medication and time-to- use; sustained headache; sustained pain-free response; global evaluation of medication; acceptability of study medication	and 56%, respectively) compared with both naratriptan (18%; $P < 0.001$ and 41%; $P < 0.01$) and placebo (19%; $P < 0.001$; 24%; $P < 0.0001$). By 1 hour, pain-free rates were significantly higher for eletriptan (12%) compared with naratriptan (6%; $P < 0.05$). Pain-free response for naratriptan was significantly higher than placebo at 4 hours ($P < 0.01$) but not at 2 hours. Eletriptan also showed a significantly greater pain-free response at 2 hours (35% vs 18%; $P < 0.001$) as well as lower use of rescue medication (15% vs 27%; $P < 0.01$) and higher sustained headache response at 24 hours (38%) compared with naratriptan (27%; $P < 0.05$) and placebo (19%; $P < 0.01$). Among patients who achieved a 2-hour headache response, headache recurrence rates were consistently low for eletriptan (29%), naratriptan (26%), and placebo (28%), with no significant differences among the 3 treatment groups. The proportion of patients taking a second dose of study medication for headache recurrence was lower for eletriptan and naratriptan (19% and 18%, respectively) than for placebo (26%). The proportion of patients reporting sustained headache response at 24 hours was significantly higher for eletriptan (38%) compared with both naratriptan (27%; $P < 0.05$) and placebo (19%; $P < 0.01$). The difference in sustained response was not significant for naratriptan vs placebo. The proportion of patients reporting a sustained pain-free response at 24 hours was significantly higher for eletriptan (22%) compared with both naratriptan (11%; $P < 0.05$) and placebo (12%; $P < 0.05$). Patients treated with eletriptan showed significantly better functional improvement at 2 hours compared with both naratriptan (60% vs 52%; $P = 0.014$) and placebo (44%; $P < 0.001$). The difference in functional status was not significantly different for naratriptan vs





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Sheftell et al ²⁶ Eletriptan 20 mg vs eletriptan 40 mg vs eletriptan 80 mg vs	DB, MC, PC, PG, R Men and women over 18 years of age with a history of at least one typical attack of migraine with or without aura every 6 weeks	N=1,334 3 migraine attacks	Primary: 2-hour headache response for the first attack Secondary: Incidence of associated symptom relief, and pain-free, sustained pain-free, and consistency of response	Patient ratings of treatment acceptability (recorded at 24 hours for current vs prior migraine treatments) were significantly higher for eletriptan compared to both naratriptan (68% vs 50%; P<0.001) and placebo (31%; P<0.0001). Naratriptan also showed significantly higher acceptability compared to placebo (P<0.05). The proportion of patients reporting treatment to be 'good-to excellent' was significantly higher for eletriptan (70%) compared to both naratriptan (53%; P<0.001) and placebo (33%; P<0.0001). Naratriptan also showed significantly higher global ratings compared to placebo (P<0.001). Primary: Eletriptan 20, 40 and 80 mg achieved significantly (P<0.001) better headache response rates than placebo at 2 hours (47%, 62% and 59%, respectively, versus 22%) and 4 hours (64%, 76% and 79%, respectively, versus 25%). Secondary: Two-hour pain-free response rates for eletriptan 20, 40 and 80 mg were 14%, 27% and 27%, respectively, compared with 4% for placebo (P<0.001). Sustained pain-free response rates were significantly better for eletriptan 20 mg (10%), 40 mg (20%) and 80 mg (18%) compared with placebo (3%; P<0.001). Eletriptan had a higher consistency of intrapatient response than placebo in two of three (68% to 82%) and three of three attacks (32% to 60%) versus 16% and 8%, respectively (P value not reported). All eletriptan doses yielded significant functional improvement at 2 hours (P<0.001).





Study and	Study Design	Sample Size	End Points	Results
Drug Regimen	and	and Study		1.00a.ii.o
	Demographics	Duration		
Diener et al ²⁷	DB, MC, PC, PG, R	N=733	Primary:	Primary:
			Headache response	Significantly more eletriptan-treated patients (80 mg, 68%;
Eletriptan 40 mg	Male or female	24 hours	(improvement from	40 mg, 54%) than Cafergot®-treated patients (33%; P<0.001)
	patients aged 18-		severe or moderate to	reported headache response (improvement from moderate-to-severe
VS	65 years, who		mild or no pain) at 2	to mild or no pain) at 2 hours.
	experienced		hours	
eletriptan 80 mg	migraine			Substantially more eletriptan recipients reported no pain (80 mg,
	with or without aura		Secondary:	38%; 40 mg, 28%; Cafergot [®] , 10%; placebo, 5%; <i>P</i> <0.001).
VS	for at least 1 year;		Headache response at	
_	frequency of		1 hour, pain-free rates	Secondary:
ergotamine tartrate 2 mg,	migraine attacks		at 1 and 2 hours,	Eletriptan headache response rates at 1 hour were significantly
caffeine 200 mg (Cafergot®)	had to be at least 1		functional hour	higher (80 mg, 39%; 40 mg, 29%; Cafergot [®] , 13%; placebo, 13%;
	every 6 weeks but		impairment, functional	P<0.002 for each comparison).
VS	not more than 6 per		response, and	
	month		presence of migraine-	Both doses of eletriptan were significantly more effective than
placebo			associated symptoms	Cafergot® in reducing nausea (<i>P</i> <0.0001), photophobia (80 mg;
			or absence of nausea,	P<0.0001; 40 mg; P<0.002), phonophobia (80 mg; P<0.0001; 40 mg;
			vomiting, photophobia	P<0.003) and functional impairment (P <0.001) at 2 hours.
Otalia an at a 128	DD DO DO D	N 4 040	and phonophobia	Diference
Steiner et al ²⁸	DB, PC, PG, R	N=1,312	Primary:	Primary:
Flatrintan 40 mg	Male or female	Cinalo miaroino	Headache response	On the primary efficacy end-point of headache response at 2 hours,
Eletriptan 40 mg		Single migraine	within 2 hours of taking	eletriptan 80 mg (265/360, 74%) was significantly better than
	patients aged 18-	attack	the first dose of study medication	zolmitriptan 2.5 mg (224/376, 60%; <i>P</i> <0.0001) and placebo (30/135,
VS	65 years with migraine with or		medication	22%; <i>P</i> <0.0001).
eletriptan 80 mg	without aura		Secondary:	Eletriptan 40 mg was more efficacious than placebo (<i>P</i> <0.0001) at 2
eletriptan oo mg	Williout aura		Headache-response	hours (229/359, 64%) and 1 hour (101/361, 28%) but not significantly
vs			rates at 0.5, 1 and 1.5	better than zolmitriptan 2.5 mg at any time point.
٧٥			hours, pain-free rates	better than zonnithplan z.5 mg at any time point.
zolmitriptan 2.5 mg			at 0.5, 1, 1.5 and 2	Eletriptan 80 mg was significantly better (<i>P</i> <0.01) than eletriptan 40
20			hours, absence of	mg in headache response at 2 hours.
VS			associated symptoms	mg in nedddono response di z nodis.
			at 0.5, 1, 1.5 and 2	Secondary:
placebo			hours, functional	On the secondary efficacy endpoint of 1 hour response rates,
p			recovery at 1 and 2	eletriptan 80 mg (149/369, 40%) was more efficacious than
			hours, headache-	zolmitriptan 2.5 mg (93/371, 25%; <i>P</i> <0.0001) and placebo (7/134,





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
			recurrence rate, use of rescue medication, sustained headache response, sustained pain-free, patient's global evaluation of study medication at 24 hours on a 7-point Likert scale, acceptability of study medication	Pain-free rates for eletriptan 80 mg were better at both 2 hours (157/360, 44%) and 1 hour (44/369, 12%) compared to zolmitriptan (99/376, 26%; <i>P</i> <0.0001; 21/371, 6%; <i>P</i> <0.01) and placebo (8/135, 6%; <i>P</i> <0.0001; 1/134, <1.0%; <i>P</i> <0.01). Eletriptan 40 mg was significantly better than placebo at 2 hours (115/359, 32%; <i>P</i> <0.0001) and 1 hour (21/361, 6%; <i>P</i> <0.05) but not zolmitriptan 2.5 mg. Eletriptan 80 mg was significantly better (<i>P</i> <0.01) than eletriptan 40 mg in headache response and pain-free rates at 2 hours. Eletriptan 80 mg was significantly better (<i>P</i> <0.01) than eletriptan 40 mg in pain-free rates at 2 hours. In the subsets with severe or moderate functional impairment at baseline (3 or 2 on the scale 0-3), all active treatments were better than placebo (<i>P</i> <0.0001) at bringing improvement. Patients on eletriptan 80 mg (response rates: 194/285, 68% at 2 hours; 100/296, 34% at 1 hour) did better than those on zolmitriptan 2.5 mg (171/303, 56% at 2 hours; <i>P</i> <0.05; 73/303, 24% at 1 hour; <i>P</i> <0.05). Eletriptan 40 mg (181/296, 61%; 73/300, 24%) was not significantly different from zolmitriptan on this measure. In the subsets of patients achieving headache response by 2 hours, headache-recurrence rates were numerically lower for patients on eletriptan 80 mg (84/253, 33%; <i>P</i> =0.271) and significantly lower for patients on eletriptan 40 mg (65/225, 29%; <i>P</i> <0.05) than for those on zolmitriptan (83/218, 38%). Both doses of eletriptan had significantly lower recurrence rates than placebo (16/31, 52%; <i>P</i> <0.05). Significantly fewer patients used rescue medication after eletriptan 80 mg (53/390, 14%) than after zolmitriptan (101/395, 26%; <i>P</i> <0.0001) or placebo (81/140, 58%; <i>P</i> <0.0001). This was true of those taking eletriptan 40 mg also (76/387, 20%; <i>P</i> <0.05 vs zolmitriptan; <i>P</i> <0.0001





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
	g.u.pec			vs placebo).
				More patients achieved headache response by 2 hours and continued to 24 hours without recurrence or use of rescue medication (sustained headache response) on eletriptan 80 mg (160/338, 47%; P <0.001) and 40 mg (151/345, 44%; P <0.01) than on zolmitriptan (125/362, 35%). Eletriptan 80 mg (P <0.0001) and 40 mg (P <0.0001), as well as zolmitriptan (P <0.0001), were all significantly better than placebo (14/131, 11%).
				Sustained-pain-free rate was higher for eletriptan 80 mg (100/343, 29%) than for zolmitriptan (61/367, 17%; P <0.001). Eletriptan 80 mg (P <0.0001) and 40 mg (P 5/349, 22%; P <0.0001), as well as zolmitriptan (P <0.01), were better than placebo (6/134, 5%).
				Patients' ratings of treatment acceptability ('would use again') showed preferences for eletriptan 80 mg (232/381, 61%; <i>P</i> <0.05) and 40 mg (244/379, 64%; <i>P</i> <0.01) over zolmitriptan 2.5 mg (205/389, 53%).
				All active treatments were rated significantly better than placebo (26/137, 19%; <i>P</i> <0.0001).
				On the 7-point global rating of study medication, analysis was of the percentage of patients in each group recording either "excellent" or "good". Eletriptan 80 mg (254/387, 66%) and 40 mg (243/380, 64%) were both rated more highly than zolmitriptan (214/389, 55%; <i>P</i> <0.01). All active treatments scored significantly better than placebo (24/139, 17%; <i>P</i> <0.0001).
Goadsby et al ²⁹	DB, PG, R	N=692	Primary:	Primary:
Eletriptan 20 mg	Male and female subjects, 18 years of age and older,	Single migraine attack 24 hours	Percentage of responders, operationally defined as any patient who,	Headache response rates 2 hours after dosing were 24% (30/126) for placebo, 55% (63/115) for sumatriptan 100 mg, 54% (70/129) for eletriptan 20 mg, 65% (76/117) for eletriptan 40 mg and 77% (91/118) for eletriptan 80 mg.
	who met the IHS	27 HOUIS	within 2 hours after	
eletriptan 40 mg	criteria for migraine		ingesting study drug,	There was a difference compared with placebo (<i>P</i> <0.001) for all





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and	Sample Size and Study	End Points	Results
Drug negimen	Demographics	Duration		
	with or without aura		reported improvement	doses of eletriptan.
vs			in headache intensity to	·
			mild or pain-free levels	There was a difference between sumatriptan 100 mg and eletriptan
eletriptan 80 mg			from a pretreatment	80 mg (<i>P</i> <0.001) at 2 hours.
			level of moderate or	
VS			severe	Headache-free rates at 2 hours were better than placebo (6%;
accompanienta en 100 mars			Casandanii	P<0.001) for both the 80-mg dose of eletriptan (37%) and the 40-mg
sumatriptan 100 mg			Secondary: Not reported	dose (29%), with the 80-mg dose of eletriptan also being more efficacious than the 100-mg dose of sumatriptan (23%; <i>P</i> <0.05).
vs			Not reported	efficacious than the 100-mg dose of sumathplan (25%, 7<0.05).
l vs				Secondary:
placebo				Not reported
Mandema et al ³⁰	MA, PC	N≅11,400	Primary:	Primary:
	1111 1, 1	11_11,100	Proportion of patients	The results of this analysis show a significant difference for eletriptan
Eletriptan 20 mg	For inclusion in the	Duration not	that achieved migraine	40 mg compared to sumatriptan 100 mg at any point in time up to 4
	analysis, each trial	specified	pain relief up to 4 hours	hours after treatment (P value not reported).
vs	had to meet the	•	after treatment and	
	following criteria:		proportion of patients	The benefit of eletriptan 40 mg is greatest around 1.5–2 hours after
eletriptan 40 mg	(1) DB, PC, and		that became pain free	treatment with an absolute difference at 2 hours of 9.1% (7.4%-
	RCT; (2) treatment			11.5%) more patients achieving pain relief and 7.3% (5.8%–8.6%)
VS	of moderate or		Secondary:	more patient achieving pain free when compared to sumatriptan 100
alatriatan 80 ma	severe migraine in adults within 8		Not reported	mg (P value not reported).
eletriptan 80 mg	hours of onset; (3)			An absolute benefit of more than 5% of patients is maintained from
VS	measurement of			45 minutes up to 4 hours after treatment for pain relief and from 1.5
VS	relief from migraine			hours up to 4 hours for pain-free response (<i>P</i> value not reported).
sumatriptan 25 mg	pain on a four point			rodio up to 1 rodio for pain from rospondo (7 raido from rospondo).
community tank _c mig	categorical scale of			Eletriptan 20 mg was more efficacious than sumatriptan 50 mg and
vs	none, mild,			similar to sumatriptan 100 mg for pain relief, while it was similar to
	moderate, severe;			sumatriptan 50 mg for pain-free response (P value not reported).
sumatriptan 50 mg	(4) includes			
	efficacy results for			The benefit of eletriptan 20 mg when compared to sumatriptan 50 mg
VS	the first attack; (5)			is greatest around 1.5–2 hours after treatment with an absolute
	no re-medication or			difference at 2 hours of 5.0% (2.9%–8.1%) more patients achieving
sumatriptan 100 mg	rescue before 2			pain relief (P value not reported).
	hours			





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
vs sumatriptan 200 mg				An absolute benefit of more than 3% of patients was maintained from 1 hour up to 3 hours after treatment. No significant difference was found between eletriptan 20 mg and sumatriptan 50 mg for the
vs				fraction of patients that became pain free (<i>P</i> value not reported).
sumatriptan 300 mg				No significant effect of encapsulation of sumatriptan was found on the time course of response up to 4 hours after treatment when compared to commercial sumatriptan (<i>P</i> value not reported).
vs placebo				Secondary: Not reported
Mathew et al ³¹	DB, PC, PG, R	N=2,113	Primary: The primary	Primary: Headache response rates at 2 hours post-dose were significantly
Eletriptan 40 mg	Men and women, aged 18 to 65	24 hours	endpoint was 2-hour headache response	higher for eletriptan 40 mg (67%) than for sumatriptan 100 mg (59%; P <0.001) and placebo (26%; P <0.0001).
VS	years, who met the IHS criteria for		Secondary:	Secondary:
sumatriptan 100 mg	migraine with or without aura		Headache response rates at 1 hour, pain-free rates, absence of	Eletriptan 40 mg consistently showed significantly better (<i>P</i> <0.01) efficacy over sumatriptan 100 mg across secondary clinical outcomes, including 1-hour headache response; 2-hour pain-free
placebo			associated symptoms, functional response at 1 and 2 hours, and sustained headache	response; absence of nausea, photophobia, and phonophobia; functional improvement; use of rescue medication; treatment acceptability; and sustained headache response (<i>P</i> <0.05).
132			response	
Schoenen et al ³²	OL, R, XO	N=311	Primary: Patient preference for	Primary: Fifty-one percent of patients preferred or greatly preferred eletriptan,
Eletriptan 80 mg	Male and female patients 18–65	3 migraine attacks	eletriptan versus sumatriptan SC	while 43% preferred sumatriptan SC. When permitted to choose between eletriptan and sumatriptan SC for subsequent treatment,
VS	years of age that met the IHS criteria		Secondary:	78% of patients who had preferred eletriptan took eletriptan during the extension phase for all three of their attacks, while only 37% of
sumatriptan 6 mg SC	for migraine with or without aura, and suffered at least		Change from pretreatment baseline in headache intensity;	patients who preferred sumatriptan SC took sumatriptan SC for all of their extension-phase attacks (<i>P</i> <0.05).
	one acute attack every 6 weeks		change from pretreatment baseline	Secondary: Secondary efficacy measures showed comparable efficacy for each





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
			in a 5-point patient- rated Global Impression of efficacy scale; the presence or absence of nausea, vomiting, photophobia and phonophobia; change in functional impairment scale; headache recurrence (and time to headache recurrence), between 2 and 24 hours after ingestion of study medication; time to use of rescue medication; sustained relief; acceptability of study medication	study medication, except for faster headache response and pain-free rates in favor of sumatriptan SC, and a significantly lower recurrence rate on eletriptan (25% vs 40%; <i>P</i> <0.05).
Sandrini et al ³³	DB, DD, MC, PC, PG, RCT	N=1,008	Primary: Early headache	Primary: Headache response rates were 12% at 1 hour and 31% at 2 hours for
Eletriptan 40 mg	Men and women	3 attack study	response (at 1 hour) was the primary	placebo; 24% at 1 hour and 50% at 2 hours for sumatriptan 50 mg; 27% at 1 hour and 53% at 2 hours for sumatriptan 100 mg; 30% at 1
VS	>18 years of age who were expected		endpoint, 2-hour headache response	hour and 64% at 2 hours for eletriptan 40 mg; and 37% at 1 hour and 67% at 2 hours for eletriptan 80 mg.
eletriptan 80 mg	to have at least one attack of migraine		Secondary:	More patients receiving eletriptan 80 mg achieved a 1-hour headache
vs	with or without aura, every 6		Headache response rates, functional	response than did patients receiving sumatriptan 50 mg (<i>P</i> <0.05).
sumatriptan 50 mg	weeks		improvement, patient acceptability	All doses of eletriptan were more efficacious than sumatriptan at 2 hours for headache response and complete pain relief (<i>P</i> <0.05).
vs			ασσοριασιπιγ	
sumatriptan 100 mg				Secondary: Significantly more patients on eletriptan 80 mg achieved headache response in all attacks than did patients receiving either sumatriptan dose. Eletriptan 40 mg was more efficacious than both sumatriptan





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Ryan et al ³⁴	DB, MA, PC, PG	N=2,676	Primary:	doses in functional improvement (<i>P</i> <0.005). The higher efficacy of both eletriptan doses was associated with higher rates of patient acceptability than sumatriptan 50 mg (<i>P</i> <0.05). Primary:
Frovatriptan 2.5 mg vs placebo	Patients with migraine	24 hours (up to 3 migraine attacks)	Headache response at 2 hours Secondary: Time to headache recurrence, incidence of patients with 24-hour headache recurrence	In all three studies, headache response 2 hours after frovatriptan dosing was significantly greater than that seen with placebo (<i>P</i> ≤0.001) with approximately a two-fold measure of effect over placebo for headache response at 2 and 4 hours post-dosing. Secondary: Time to headache response occurred within 1.5 hours in a substantial proportion of patients. The incidence of 24-hour headache recurrence with frovatriptan was low (10% to 25%).
Cady et al ³⁵ Frovatriptan 2.5 mg early use dose 1: frovatriptan dose 2: placebo vs frovatriptan 2.5 mg late use dose 1: placebo dose 2: frovatriptan	DB, MC, PC, XO Patients had migraine history >1 year with 2 to 8 migraines in the previous 2 months	N=165 2 migraine attacks	Primary: The incidence of no migraine headache 2 hours post dose Secondary: Comparison of early vs later use of frovatriptan	Primary: Twenty-eight percent and 20% of early frovatriptan users and placebo users, respectively, were headache free at 2 hours (<i>P</i> =0.04). Secondary: Fifty percent of early users were pain free at 3 hours. Early use of frovatriptan prevented mild migraine headaches from progressing to moderate or severe headaches (<i>P</i> value not reported). Migraine recurrence was low, 4%-6%, regardless of treatment group (<i>P</i> value not reported). During the 24 hours following the first dose, 64% of patients experienced nothing worse than mild functional impairment when frovatriptan was used early compared with 48% of patients when placebo was used early (<i>P</i> <0.001).
Stark et al ³⁶ Naratriptan 2.5 mg vs	Single blind for attack 1, DB, PC, PG, R for attack 2 Self-described poor	N=347 2 migraine attacks	Primary: Conversion from moderate or severe pain to mild or no pain at 4 hours after the use	Primary: For attack 2, naratriptan was statistically more efficacious than placebo for the relief of headache pain (defined as mild or no pain) at 4 hours (<i>P</i> <0.001).





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
sumatriptan 50 mg	sumatriptan responders, had	Duration	of the double-blind test medication for the	Secondary: Naratriptan was more efficacious than placebo at 2 hours for relief of
vs	history of migraine >1 year		treatment of attack 2	headache (P =0.005), but statistical significance was not shown for pain-free response (P >0.05).
placebo			Secondary: Headache relief at 2 hours and complete pain relief at 4 hours, which include relief of other components of migraine syndrome	
Klassen et al ³⁷	DB, PC, PG, R	N=613	Primary:	Primary:
Naratriptan 0.1 mg	Men and women 18 to 65 years of	Single migraine attack	Percentage of patients who experienced headache relief	Headache relief 4 hours post-dose was reported in 60% of patients receiving naratriptan 2.5 mg compared with 50%, 35%, 32% and 34% of patients receiving naratriptan 1 mg, 0.25 mg, 0.1 mg and placebo,
vs	age with at least a 1-year history of	attack	(moderate or severe pain at dosing reduced	respectively (<i>P</i> <0.05 naratriptan 2.5 mg and 1 mg vs placebo, 1 mg vs 0.1 mg, and 2.5 mg vs 0.1 mg and 0.25 mg).
naratriptan 0.25 mg	migraine with or without aura		to mild or no pain) 4 hours after the first	Secondary:
vs naratriptan 1 mg			dose of study medication	Clinical disability 4 hours post-dose was reported as mild or none for 70% of patients receiving naratriptan 2.5 mg compared with 63%, 47%, 48% and 48% of patients receiving naratriptan 1 mg, 0.25 mg,
VS			Secondary: Examined at each	0.1 mg or placebo, respectively (<i>P</i> <0.05 naratriptan 2.5 mg and 1 mg vs placebo, 1 mg vs 0.1 mg and 2.5 mg vs 0.1 mg and 0.25 mg).
naratriptan 2.5 mg			measured time point through 4 hours post-dose, included the	Four-hour efficacy for absence of nausea, photophobia, and phonophobia was similar to efficacy for headache relief at each dose.
vs			proportions of patients with headache	The adverse event profile of each dose of naratriptan was similar to that of placebo. No clinically relevant change in any safety measure
placebo			relief, proportions of patients with meaningful relief, proportions with headache relief 8, 12, and 24 hours postdose, the proportion	was reported.





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Gobel et al ³⁸ Naratriptan 2.5 mg	DB, R Men and women	N=253 24 hours	taking rescue medication within 24 hours of initial dosing, and the proportion experiencing headache recurrence within 24 hours of initial dosing Primary: Percent of patients with headache recurrence,	Primary: Headache recurrence for naratriptan was 45% and recurrence with sumatriptan was 57% (no significant statistical difference).
vs sumatriptan 100 mg	18-65 years old with >1 year history of migraine with or without aura, randomly assigned to treat 1 moderate or severe migraine attack in a nonclinical setting with one naratriptan 2.5 tablet and 1 attack with 1 sumatriptan 100 mg tablet	Z-T HOUTS	percent of patients with 24-hour maintenance of headache relief Secondary: Percentage of patients experiencing headache relief, the percent of patients using rescue medication during the 24 hours after dosing, and the percentage of patients that took a second dose of study drug	After 2 attacks, headache recurrence for naratriptan was 41% and for sumatriptan was 57%. The odds ratio for not experiencing recurrence after treatment with naratriptan relative to sumatriptan was 1.97 (<i>P</i> =0.005; 95% CI, 1.24 to 3.15). Twenty-four hour maintenance of headache relief was reported by 39% of patients given naratriptan and 34% of patients treated with sumatriptan (OR, 1.26; 95% CI, 0.86 to 1.85; <i>P</i> =NS). Secondary: Percentage of patients experiencing headache relief was 76% for patients treated with naratriptan 2.5 mg, and 84% in patients who received sumatriptan 100 mg (not significantly different). The percent of patients who received rescue medications for inadequate relief up to 24 hours after dosing did not differ significantly between naratriptan-treated patients (21%) and sumatriptan-treated patients (16%) (OR, 1.47; 95% CI, 0.94 to 2.30). The percent of patients that took a second dose of study drug did differ significantly. Forty percent of patients treated with naratriptan used a second dose of study medication after initial treatment, compared with 57% for sumatriptan (<i>P</i> <0.001; OR, 0.51; 95% CI, 0.37 to 0.71).





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Ashcroft et al ³⁹	MA	N=449	Primary: Response rate ratios	Primary: Pooled RR's relative to placebo for pain-free response at 2 and 4
Naratriptan 2.5 mg	Patients suffering from moderate or	Single migraine attack	for headache relief, pain-free response and	hours for naratriptan 2.5 mg were 2.52 (95% CI, 1.78 to 3.57) and 2.58 (1.99 to 3.35), respectively.
VS	severe migraine attacks		sustained relief (4-24 hours)	Naratriptan 2.5 mg was more effective than naratriptan 1 mg; the
naratriptan 1 mg			Secondary:	corresponding RR's for pain-free response at 2 and 4 hours were 1.54 (95% CI, 1.28 to 1.86) and 1.35 (1.20 to 1.51), respectively.
VS			Adverse events were estimated with the RR,	Naratriptan 2.5 mg was less effective in pain-free response than
rizatriptan 10 mg			risk difference and number needed to	either rizatriptan 10 mg at 4 hours (RR, 0.68; 95% CI, 0.55 to 0.85) or sumatriptan 100 mg at 4 hours (RR, 0.79; 95% CI, 0.67 to 0.93).
VS			harm	Secondary:
sumatriptan 100 mg				Significantly fewer patients experienced adverse effects with naratriptan 2.5 mg than with rizatriptan 10 mg (RR, 0.73; 95% CI,
VS				0.56 to 0.97) or sumatriptan 100 mg (RR, 0.68; 95% CI, 0.55 to 0.86).
placebo				
Mathew et al ⁴⁰	PC, R	N=112	Primary:	Primary:
Rizatriptan 10 mg	Patients aged 20 to 64 years with	3 migraine attacks	Percentage of migraine attacks in which treatment produced a	Pain-free response at 2 hours after early treatment occurred in 151 of 216 attacks (70%) in the rizatriptan group and 24 of 109 attacks (22%) in the placebo group (<i>P</i> <0.01).
VS	migraine and a history of headache		pain-free response at 2 hours after study drug	Secondary:
placebo	progressing to moderate or severe		administration	Pain-free response at 1 hour occurred in 97 attacks (45%) in the rizatriptan group, compared with 9 (8%) in the placebo group
	pain when no intervention was		Secondary: Pain-free response at 1	(P<0.01).
	used		hour after	When the attacks were categorized by headache severity at the time
			administration, percentage of migraine attacks in which	of treatment, the pain-free response at 2 hours was higher for mild attacks than for moderate or severe attacks (<i>P</i> <0.01).
			treatment provided a sustained pain-free response lasting	Sustained pain-free response after treatment was significantly higher for attacks treated with rizatriptan (60%) than for those treated with placebo (17%; <i>P</i> <0.001).





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
			between 2 and 24 hours after administration	
Ferrari et al ⁴¹	MA of DB, R	N=4,816	Primary: Pain relief, associated	Primary: At 2 hours, rizatriptan 10 mg was significantly more effective than
Rizatriptan 5 mg	Outpatients who had at least a 6-	Single migraine attack	migraine symptoms and functional disability	placebo for pain relief (71% vs 38%; <i>P</i> <0.001), and for elimination of pain, nausea, photophobia, phonophobia and functional disability.
VS	month history of migraine		(all measured immediately before	The benefit was maintained over 24 hours; 37% of patients on
rizatriptan 10 mg			dosing and at 0.5, 1, 1.5 and 2 hours), headache recurrence	rizatriptan 10 mg had sustained pain relief vs 18% for placebo (<i>P</i> <0.001).
placebo			Secondary: Not reported	Rizatriptan 10 mg was also more effective than rizatriptan 5 mg, with a significant difference at 2 hours on all measures except for elimination of nausea.
				The benefit was maintained over 24 hours; 38% of patients on rizatriptan 10 mg had sustained pain relief vs 32% for rizatriptan 5 mg (P =0.001).
				Secondary: Not reported
Oldman et al ⁴²	MA	N=2,626	Primary: Headache response at	Primary: Headache response (moderate to severe pain reduced to mild or
Rizatriptan 5 mg	Men and women in good health aged	Single dose	2 hours, headache response at 1 hour,	none) at 2 hours were reported as follows: Rizatriptan 5 mg: RB, 1.8 (1.6 to 2.0); NNT, 3.9 (3.3 to 4.7); n=1,646.
vs	>18 years with moderate or severe		pain-free response at 2 hours, sustained relief	Rizatriptan 10 mg: RB, 2.2 (2.0 to 2.4); NNT, 2.7 (2.4 to 2.9); n=2,770.
rizatriptan 10 mg	migraine with or without aura		over 24 hours	Headache response at one hour was reported as follows:
vs	The local data		Secondary: Not reported	Rizatriptan 5 mg: RB, 1.6 (1.4 to 1.9); NNT, 7.2 (5.4 to 10); n=1,646. Rizatriptan 10 mg: RB, 1.9 (1.6 to 2.1); NNT, 4.9 (4.2 to 6.0);
placebo				n=2,770.
				Pain-free response (moderate to severe pain reduced to none) at two hours was noted as follows:





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Kolodny et al ⁴³ Rizatriptan 5 mg	DB, PC, R, two-attack study Men and women in good health aged	N=1,447 5 days	Primary: Time to pain relief during the 2 hours after taking study drug	Rizatriptan 5 mg: RB, 3.4 (2.6 to 4.4); NNT, 4.7 (4.0 to 5.7); n=1,646. Rizatriptan 10 mg: RB, 4.8 (3.8 to 5.9); NNT, 3.1 (2.9 to 3.4); n=2,770. Sustained relief over 24 hours (headache response at 2 hours, sustained for 24 hours with no rescue medication and no second dose of study medication) was noted as follows: Rizatriptan 5 mg: RB, 1.5 (1.3 to 1.8); NNT, 8.3 (6.0 to 14); n=1,450. Rizatriptan 10 mg: RB, 1.7 (1.5 to 2.0); NNT, 5.6 (4.5 to 7.4); n=1,677. Secondary: Not reported Primary: The primary efficacy variable, expressed as the hazard ratio of rizatriptan 10 mg vs sumatriptan 50 mg, was 1.10 (95% CI, 0.96 to 1.26; <i>P</i> =0.161).
rizatriptan 10 mg	>18 years with at least 6-month history of migraine		Secondary: 2-hour pain relief status and the presence of	Rizatriptan 5 mg was statistically (<i>P</i> =0.007) more efficacious than sumatriptan 25 mg; the hazard ratio of rizatriptan 5 mg vs sumatriptan 25 mg was 1.22 (95% CI, 1.06 to 1.41).
VS	with or without aura		associated symptoms	O do .
sumatriptan 25 mg			at 2 hours	Secondary: Rizatriptan 10 mg-treated patients had significantly less nausea $(P=0.004)$ compared with those treated with sumatriptan 50 mg.
VS				For all other coorden, managing at 0 hours visativistes 10 man use
sumatriptan 50 mg				For all other secondary measures at 2-hours, rizatriptan 10 mg was not statistically different than sumatriptan 50 mg.
vs				
placebo				
Lainez et al ⁴⁴	MC, OL, XO	N=372	Primary: Patient preference was	Primary: Significantly more (<i>P</i> ≤0.001) patients preferred rizatriptan 10 mg
Rizatriptan 10 mg	Patients aged 18– 65 years with a	Single migraine attack	analyzed for all patients who treated both	wafer (61.1%; 95% CI, 55.7 to 66.3) to eletriptan 40-mg tablet (38.9%; 95% CI, 33.7 to 44.3). The most common reason given for





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
vs eletriptan 40 mg	history of at least 6 months of migraine, with or without aura		attacks and who expressed a preference for one medication over the other Secondary:	preference of either treatment was speed of headache relief. At 2 hours, 80% and 69% of patients reported that rizatriptan and eletriptan, respectively, were convenient or very convenient to take (mean convenience score 1.99 vs 2.31, respectively; <i>P</i> ≤0.001). Secondary:
Adelman et al ⁴⁵ Rizatriptan 10 mg vs naratriptan 2.5 mg vs zolmitriptan 2.5 mg vs sumatriptan 25 mg vs sumatriptan 50 mg vs sumatriptan 100 mg	DB, PC 5 trials Outpatients who had at least a 6-month history of migraine with or without aura	N=4,064 24 hours	Not reported Primary: Pain-free response at 2 hours, symptom-free response at 2 hours, 24-hour sustained pain-free response Secondary: Adverse events	Primary: Pain-free rates at 2 hours were significantly higher for rizatriptan than for all other triptans included in the studies. Percent of patients who were pain-free ranged from 38%-45% for rizatriptan 10 mg and 21%-36% for all other triptans. The statistical significance of these differences is noted below. Rizatriptan 10 mg vs sumatriptan 100 mg (<i>P</i> =0.019). Rizatriptan 10 mg vs sumatriptan 50 mg (<i>P</i> =0.009). Rizatriptan 10 mg vs sumatriptan 25 mg (<i>P</i> <0.001). Rizatriptan 10 mg vs naratriptan 2.5 mg (<i>P</i> <0.001). Rizatriptan 10 mg vs zolmitriptan 2.5 mg (<i>P</i> =0.041). Two hours after the dose, significantly more patients taking rizatriptan 10 mg were symptom free than were patients taking other triptans. The percentage of patients with freedom from pain and associated symptoms ranged from 30% to 33% for rizatriptan 10 mg and from 11% to 28% for the other triptans. The statistical significance is noted below. Rizatriptan 10 mg vs sumatriptan 100 mg (<i>P</i> =0.002). Rizatriptan 10 mg vs sumatriptan 50 mg (<i>P</i> =0.003). Rizatriptan 10 mg vs sumatriptan 2.5 mg (<i>P</i> <0.001). Rizatriptan 10 mg vs naratriptan 2.5 mg (<i>P</i> <0.001). Rizatriptan 10 mg vs zolmitriptan 2.5 mg (<i>P</i> <0.001).
				More patients taking rizatriptan had a 24-hour sustained pain-free response than did patients taking other triptans. The statistical significance is noted below. Rizatriptan 10 mg vs sumatriptan 100 mg (<i>P</i> =0.112).





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Bomhof et al ⁴⁶ Rizatriptan 10 mg vs naratriptan 2.5 mg vs placebo	DD, MC, PC, R, double-masked Patients aged 18-65 years who met IHS criteria for migraine with or without aura, a 6-month history of migraine and usually experienced 1-8 attacks per month	N=552 Single migraine attack	Primary: Time to headache relief within 2 hours Secondary: Headache relief and pain free up to 2 hours, associated symptoms, functional disability, satisfaction with medication at 2 hours, need for additional medication from 2 to 24 hours, 24-hour quality of life, safety	Rizatriptan 10 mg vs sumatriptan 50 mg (<i>P</i> =0.015). Rizatriptan 10 mg vs sumatriptan 25 mg (<i>P</i> =0.005). Rizatriptan 10 mg vs naratriptan 2.5 mg (<i>P</i> =0.004). Rizatriptan 10 mg vs zolmitriptan 2.5 mg (<i>P</i> =0.013). Secondary: Incidence of drug-related adverse events were as follows: Rizatriptan 10 mg vs sumatriptan 100 mg; 33% vs 41% (<i>P</i> =0.014). Rizatriptan 10 mg vs sumatriptan 50 mg; 37% vs 35% (<i>P</i> =0.671). Rizatriptan 10 mg vs sumatriptan 2.5 mg; 37% vs 31% (<i>P</i> =0.043). Rizatriptan 10 mg vs naratriptan 2.5 mg; 27% vs 19% (<i>P</i> =0.079). Rizatriptan 10 mg vs zolmitriptan 2.5 mg; 25% vs 28% (<i>P</i> =0.410). Primary: Rizatriptan 10 mg was more effective than naratriptan 2.5 mg on the primary efficacy measure of time to headache relief within 2 hours. HR, 1.62 (95% CI, 1.26 to 2.09; <i>P</i> <0.001). Secondary: Headache relief at 2 hours was 68.7% with rizatriptan and 48.4% with naratriptan (<i>P</i> <0.001). In patients with migraine associated symptoms at baseline, rizatriptan gave earlier relief than naratriptan from nausea, photophobia, and phonophobia within 2 hours, with HR of 1.53 (95% CI, 1.11 to 2.11; <i>P</i> =0.009), 1.57 (95% CI, 1.13 to 2.19; <i>P</i> =0.007), and 1.61 (95% CI, 1.15 to 2.27; <i>P</i> =0.006) respectively. Rizatriptan was better than naratriptan with regard to time to no functional disability, with HR of 1.96 (95% CI, 1.36 to 2.82; <i>P</i> <0.001). Patients on rizatriptan were more satisfied with their medication than those on naratriptan at 2 hour means scores 3.55 vs 4.21; <i>P</i> <0.001.





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and	Sample Size and Study	End Points	Results
Lipton et al ^{4/}	Demographics MA of 5 trials	Duration N=4,097	Primary:	The overall incidence of any clinical adverse event was significantly higher in the rizatriptan group than in the naratriptan and placebo groups (<i>P</i> <0.05). Rizatriptan and naratriptan were significantly better than placebo on all five quality-of-life domains (<i>P</i> <0.01). Both active treatments were effective compared to placebo. Both active treatments were well tolerated. Primary:
Rizatriptan 10 mg vs sumatriptan 100 mg	Men and women in good health aged >18 years with history of migraine with or without aura	Single dose	Relief of nausea in those who had it at baseline and emergence of nausea in those who were free of it at baseline	Approximately 60% of patients in each treatment group had nausea at baseline. In those patients with nausea at baseline, significantly more patients treated with rizatriptan 10 mg were free of nausea at 2 hours compared with sumatriptan 100 mg (66% vs 58%; <i>P</i> =0.043), sumatriptan 50 mg (68% vs 57%; <i>P</i> =0.010), sumatriptan 25 mg (68% vs 59%; <i>P</i> =0.017), and naratriptan 2.5 mg (59% vs 45%; <i>P</i> =0.014).
vs sumatriptan 50 mg vs	With of Without dara		Secondary: Not reported	Averaging over the four post treatment time points in the first 2 hours, significantly more patients treated with rizatriptan 10 mg were free of nausea compared with sumatriptan 100 mg (P =0.004), sumatriptan 50 mg (P =0.001), and naratriptan 2.5 mg (P =0.015).
sumatriptan 25 mg vs naratriptan 2.5 mg				No significant differences in nausea relief were seen between rizatriptan 10 mg and zolmitriptan 2.5 mg, either at 2 hours (65% vs 61%; <i>P</i> =0.210) or over the first 2 hours (<i>P</i> =0.781). Rates of treatment-emergent nausea at 2 hours ranged from 11% to 18% with placebo, from 5% to 13% with rizatriptan 10 mg and from 10% to 20% with other comparator triptans.
vs zolmitriptan 2.5 mg vs placebo				Secondary: Not reported





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Cady et al ⁴⁸	PC, R	N=1,104	Primary: 1-hour headache	Primary: Sumatriptan 6 mg SC produced a response (defined as mild pain or
Sumatriptan 6 mg SC	Adult patients with history of migraine	Duration not specified	response rate	no pain) rate of 70%, compared with 22% for placebo (<i>P</i> <0.001) and was more effective than placebo in totally eliminating migraine
VS	with or without aura		Secondary: Complete relief of	headache at 60 minutes (49% vs 9%; <i>P</i> <0.001).
placebo			headache, clinical disability, and reduction in other migraine symptoms	Secondary: Clinical disability improved more with sumatriptan (76%) than with placebo (34%; <i>P</i> <0.001).
				Sumatriptan 6 mg SC was effective in reducing other symptoms such as nausea, vomiting, and photophobia.
SC Sumatriptan International	DB, PC, PG, R	N=639	Primary: Severity of headache at	Primary: After 60 minutes, the severity of headache pain declined in 72% of
Study Group ⁴⁹	Adult patients with history of migraine	Duration not specified	60 minutes and 120 minutes	the 422 patients given 6 mg of sumatriptan, 79% of the 109 patients given 8 mg of sumatriptan, and 25% of the 105 patients who received
Sumatriptan 6 mg SC	with or without aura		Secondary:	placebo (3 patients were not evaluable; <i>P</i> value not reported).
vs			Not reported	Compared with patients receiving placebo, 47% more patients who received 6 mg of sumatriptan and 54% more patients who received 8
sumatriptan 8 mg SC				mg of sumatriptan had less severe headaches (<i>P</i> <0.001).
vs				After 120 minutes, 86% to 92% of the 511 patients receiving sumatriptan felt headache severity improve, compared with 37% of
placebo				the 104 patients who were given placebo once or twice (P<0.001).
				Secondary: Not reported
Oral Sumatriptan International Multi-Dose	DB, PC, PG	N=233	Primary: Headache relief at 2	Primary: Sumatriptan was significantly more effective than placebo at 2 hours
Study Group ⁵⁰	Adult patients with history of migraine	Duration not specified	and 4 hours	(50% vs 19%; <i>P</i> <0.001) and at 4 hours (75% vs 30%; <i>P</i> <0.001).
Sumatriptan 100 mg PO	with or without aura	•	Secondary: Pain free at 2 hours,	Secondary: In the sumatriptan group, 59% of the patients opted to take a second
vs			improvement in headache severity at 1	dose compared with 80% of the placebo arm (P <0.001). More patients treated with sumatriptan than with placebo were pain free by 2 hours





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
one tablet at onset of headache, one tablet 2 hours later if headache persists, and one tablet if the headache came back within 24 hours.			hour postdose, number of patients needing two or three doses	(26% vs 5%; <i>P</i> <0.001) and by 4 hours (48% vs 13%; <i>P</i> <0.001). Improvement in headache severity by 1 hour post-dose was seen in 42% of sumatriptan patients and 17% of placebo patients. There was no difference between groups in the number of patients who took a third tablet if the headache recurred within 24 hours (<i>P</i> =0.535).
Cutler et al ⁵¹ Sumatriptan 25 mg PO vs sumatriptan 50 mg PO vs sumatriptan 100 mg PO vs	DB, PC, PG, RCT Adult patients with history of migraine with or without aura	N=259 Single attack study	Primary: Headache relief by 2 hours Secondary: Headache relief by 4 hours	Primary: By 2 hours, 50% to 56% of the patients who had received sumatriptan (any dosage) and 26% of the patients receiving placebo experienced relief (<i>P</i> <0.05). Secondary: By 4 hours, 68% to 71% of the patients treated with sumatriptan and 38% of the patients who received placebo experienced relief (<i>P</i> <0.05).
placebo Salonen et al ⁵² Sumatriptan 1 mg IN vs sumatriptan 5 mg IN vs sumatriptan 10 mg IN	Two DB, MC, PC, PG Adult patients with history of migraine with or without aura	N=245 N=210 Single attack study	Primary: Headache relief at 2 hours Secondary: Not reported	Primary: In both studies, headache severity had significantly improved at 120 minutes after doses of 10-40 mg sumatriptan compared to placebo (<i>P</i> <0.05) and the greatest efficacy rates were obtained with 20 mg sumatriptan. With 20 mg sumatriptan, 78% and 74% of patients experienced headache relief in one- and two-nostril studies, respectively, compared with 35% and 42%, respectively, of those in the placebo groups. The 10-, 20-, and 40-mg doses were significantly more effective than placebo (<i>P</i> <0.01, <i>P</i> <0.001, <i>P</i> <0.05, respectively).





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
sumatriptan 20 mg IN vs sumatriptan 40 mg IN vs placebo Study medication taken as a single dose in first study and as a divided dose in the second study. Winner et al ⁵³ Sumatriptan 50 mg PO vs sumatriptan 100 mg PO vs placebo	MA of 6 DB, PC, R trials Patients between 18 and 65 years of age, had at least a 1-year history of migraine with or without aura	N=2,297 Single attack study	Primary: Proportion of patients reporting a pain free result 2 hours post- dose Secondary: Migraine-free 2 hours post-dose, worsening pain 2 hours post-dose, sustained pain-free results from 2-24 hours post-dose	Primary: A pain-free result 2 hours post-dose was reported by significantly more patients who took either dose of sumatriptan tablets compared with placebo and by significantly more patients who took the 100-mg dose compared with the 50-mg dose (50 mg, 49%; 100 mg, 58%; placebo, 24%; <i>P</i> <0.001, both sumatriptan doses vs placebo, and 100 mg vs 50 mg). Secondary: The proportion of patients who were migraine-free at 2 hours post-dose was 42% for sumatriptan 50 mg, 47% for sumatriptan 100 mg, and 20% for placebo (<i>P</i> <0.05 for both sumatriptan doses vs placebo). The proportion of patients reporting worsening of pain 2 hours post-dose was 26% for sumatriptan 50 mg, 21% for sumatriptan 100 mg and 46% for placebo (<i>P</i> <0.05 for both sumatriptan doses vs placebo). Sustained pain-free results from 2 through 24 hours post-dose were 30% for sumatriptan 50 mg, 35% for sumatriptan 100 mg, and 12% for placebo (<i>P</i> <0.05 for both sumatriptan 100 mg, and 12% for placebo (<i>P</i> <0.05 for both sumatriptan 100 mg, and 12% for placebo (<i>P</i> <0.05 for both sumatriptan doses vs placebo).





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Gershovich et al ⁵⁴ Sumatriptan to rizatriptan ODT	Patients aged 18 years and older	N=457 initiated conversion from sumatriptan to rizatriptan ODT; 315 were randomly sampled for a satisfaction questionnaire 180 day medication conversion period; 180 day follow-up period	Primary: Successful conversion rate, medication preference Secondary: Not reported	Primary: The total number of successful conversions from sumatriptan to rizatriptan ODT (214/457 [47%]) correlated to the number of successful conversions among the questionnaire group (173/315 [55%] returned the questionnaire; 82/173 [47%] had successful conversion; <i>P</i> =0.969). Among the patients that were successfully converted to rizatriptan ODT and responded to the questionnaire, 68.0% preferred the rizatriptan ODT compared to the sumatriptan; whereas 8.5% of patients who failed conversion rated rizatriptan ODT as their preferred medication (<i>P</i> <0.001). Successfully-converted patients reported faster and more complete headache relief with rizatriptan ODT (51.9% and 45.0% of the time, respectively [<i>P</i> <0.001]). Failed-conversion respondents reported that sumatriptan yielded faster and more complete headache relief 78.3% and 75.9% of the time, respectively (<i>P</i> <0.001). Secondary:
Loder et al ⁵⁵	MC, OL, RCT, XO	N=524	Primary:	Not reported Primary:
Sumatriptan 50 mg tablet vs rizatriptan ODT 10 mg Patients treated first migraine with ODT and second with sumatriptan.	Patients aged 18 years and older	N=524 7 days	Primary: Patient preference Secondary: Head pain severity, functional disability, headache recurrence	No preference for either therapy was reported in 10 of 386 patients (2.6%). Of the remaining 374 patients 57% preferred rizatriptan ODT 10 mg and 43% preferred sumatriptan 50 mg tablet (<i>P</i> =0.009). Secondary: A significant greater percentage of patients reported pain relief after taking ODT than sumatriptan at 45 and 60 minutes post dose (38% vs 29% and 58% vs 49%, respectively; <i>P</i> <0.01) A significantly greater percentage of patients taking ODT reported a pain-free status at 60 and 120 minutes post dose (23% vs 17% [<i>P</i> <0.05] and 60% vs 52% [<i>P</i> <0.01), respectively. Significantly more patients reported normal function following





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
McCrory et al ⁵⁶ Sumatriptan 100 mg vs sumatriptan 50 mg vs sumatriptan 25 mg vs placebo	MA, PC Adult patients with history of migraine with or without aura	N=16,200 Single attacks	Primary: 2-hour pain-free response, headache relief/headache intensity, and functional disability, headache recurrence, adverse events Secondary: Not reported	treatment with ODT than with sumatriptan 60 minutes (36% vs 27%; <i>P</i> =0.004) and 120 minutes (70% vs 64%; <i>P</i> =0.029) post-dose. The overall rate of headache recurrence was similar in both treatment groups. Primary: Sixteen trials were placebo comparisons and showed that sumatriptan in doses of 100 mg (14 trials), 50 mg (5 trials), and 25 mg (3 trials) provided significantly better pain-free response (100 mg and 25 mg only), headache relief, and relief of disability at 2 hours than placebo. NNT's for pain-free response at 2 hours were 5.1 (3.9 to 7.1) for the 100-mg dose (n=2,221) and 7.5 (2.7 to 142.0) for the 25-mg dose (n=131); there was no significant difference between the 50-mg dose and placebo for this outcome (n=127). For headache relief at 2 hours, NNT's were 3.4 (3.0 to 4.0), 3.2 (2.4 to 5.1), and 3.4 (2.3 to 6.6) for sumatriptan 100 mg (n=2,940), 50 mg (n=420), and 25 mg (n=226), respectively. Adverse events were more common with sumatriptan 100 mg than with placebo (RR, 0.14 [0.09 to 0.20]; NNH, 7.1 [5.0 to 11.1];
Cady et al ⁵⁷ Sumatriptan 25 mg PO vs sumatriptan 50 mg PO	DB, MA, PC Patients with ≥1 headache which was treated early when pain was mild	N=92 118 headaches Single attack	Primary: Pain-free response 2 and 4 hours after dosing Secondary: Use of a second dose of medication, clinical	n=3172). RR's for the 50- and 25-mg vs placebo comparisons were not statistically significant. Secondary: Not reported Primary: Pain-free response was higher 2 hours after dosing with sumatriptan 50 mg (51%) or 100 mg (67%; P<0.05) compared with placebo (28%), and were higher with early treatment of mild pain compared with treatment of moderate/severe pain at 2 hours (sumatriptan 50 mg: mild pain, 51%; moderate/severe pain, 31%; P<0.05; sumatriptan 100 mg: mild pain 67%; moderate/severe pain, 36%) and 4 hours (50 mg: 75% vs 56%; 100 mg: 90% vs 61%; P<0.05).





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
vs			disability migraine-	Consultant
sumatriptan 100 mg PO			associated symptoms, meaningful pain relief (patient-defined), time	Secondary: Early intervention also resulted in less re-dosing than when moderate/severe pain was treated (50 mg: 21% vs 32%; 100 mg:
vs			to meaningful relief, sustained pain-free	20% vs 29%).
ergotamine 2 mg plus caffeine 200 mg			response, and proportion of attacks in which pain had	More attacks treated early with sumatriptan 50 or 100 mg were associated with normal function 4 hours after dosing compared with placebo (70% and 93% vs 46%, respectively).
vs			worsened 2 and 4	
aspirin 900 mg plus metoclopramide 10 mg			hours after dosing, all of which were compared in	Sustained pain-free response rates 2 to 24 hours after early dosing with sumatriptan 50 or 100 mg were also higher (34% and 53%, respectively) compared with treatment of moderate/severe pain (19%)
\ \v_0			headaches treated during mild versus	and 24%, respectively).
VS			moderate/severe pain	Early treatment with sumatriptan 100 mg produced significantly
placebo				higher pain-free rates at 2 hours after dosing (<i>P</i> <0.001) than did ergotamine plus caffeine (69% vs 34%, respectively) or aspirin plus metoclopramide 73% vs 25%, respectively).
Geraud et al ⁵⁸	DB, MC, PC, R	N=1,058	Primary:	Primary:
Zolmitriptan 5 mg	Treatment naïve migraine patients	24 hours	Complete headache response rates in acute treatment (defined as a	Complete headache response (2-24 hours) was 39% for zolmitriptan, 38% for sumatriptan and 32% for placebo (no statistical difference).
VS	18-65 years old with established		reduction in headache pain from moderate/	In patients with moderate headache, response was greater with zolmitriptan (48%) than placebo (27%; <i>P</i> =0.01).
sumatriptan 100 mg	diagnosis of migraine with or		severe at baseline to mild or no pain 2 hours	In patients with moderate headache there was no significant difference
vs	without aura for >1 year		after taking study drug with no moderate or	in complete response with zolmitriptan (48%) vs sumatriptan (40%).
placebo			severe recurrences at 24 hours	In patients with moderate headache, response was not statistically different with zolmitriptan (48%) vs sumatriptan (40%).
Use of escape medication			Cocondony	For notionts with sovere baseline bands by their was as similared
was permitted 2 hours post- dose if symptoms persisted.			Secondary: Compare headache responses at 1, 2 and 4 hours post-dose	For patients with severe baseline headache, there was no significant difference in complete response rates between placebo (44%) and either active treatment (27% for zolmitriptan and 35% sumatriptan).





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
				Secondary: Active treatment groups were significantly more effective than placebo for 1-, 2-, and 4-hour headache response; (<i>P</i> <0.05 vs placebo).
Diener et al ⁵⁹ Zolmitriptan 2.5 mg ODT One dose was used to treat migraine headache; if headache recurred, a second dose was allowed after an interval of at least 2 hours from initial dosing.	OS Patients aged 9-95 years with migraines	N=14,543 2 years	Primary: Efficacy evaluation Secondary: Not reported	Primary: Headache pain improved in 96% of patients after taking zolmitriptan ODT, and the mean time to headache improvement was 51±44 minutes (<i>P</i> value not reported). Physicians' assessment determined that 90% of patients had either good or very good efficacy with zolmitriptan ODT (<i>P</i> value not reported). Secondary: Not reported
Spierings et al ⁶⁰ Zolmitriptan 5 mg ODT vs placebo One dose was used to treat migraine headache; if there was inadequate relief or if the headache returned, a second dose was allowed 2-24 hours later.	DB, MC, PC, PG, RCT Patients aged 18-65 years with at least 2 migraine headaches per month of moderate to severe intensity in addition to less than 10 days of non-migraine headaches per month for the 3 months prior to enrollment	N=656 6 weeks	Primary: Migraine headache response at 30 minutes Secondary: Speed of onset of headache response, duration of response	Primary: The percentages of zolmitriptan and placebo patients with reduced migraine headache intensity (decreased from "moderate" or "severe" to "mild" or "no pain," as assessed at 30 minutes) were 16.5% (102/620 headaches) and 12.5% (81/647), respectively (<i>P</i> =0.048). Secondary: At the 1-hour interval, the difference in the percentages of zolmitriptan and placebo patients with reduced migraine headache intensity (from "moderate" or "severe" to "mild" or "no pain") was statistically significant, with 41.1% (253/615) in the zolmitriptan group and 22.9%(147/642) in the placebo group (<i>P</i> <0.0001). This difference was also consistent at the 2-hour mark: 59.0% (347/588) for zolmitriptan and 30.6% (193/631; <i>P</i> <0.0001). A greater number of patients achieved sustained headache response (defined as a response maintained for 24 hours) with zolmitriptan compared to placebo, with rates of 42.5% and 16.4%, (<i>P</i> <0.0001). The percentage of patients that returned to normal activities was greater for the zolmitriptan group compared to placebo, with rates of 51.8% and 25.7%, respectively, at the 2-hour mark (<i>P</i> <0.0001).





Study and	Study Design	Sample Size	End Points	Results
Drug Regimen	and	and Study	End i onits	ricounts
	Demographics	Duration		
Loder et al ⁶¹ Zolmitriptan 2.5 mg ODT (studies A and B) or	3 DB, MC, PC, RCT Patients with moderate to severe headaches (study A and C)	N=470 (study A) N=565 (study B) N=670 (study C) 24 hours	Primary: Headache response (study A); pain-free rate at 2 hours (study B); migraine headache response at 30 minutes (study C)	Primary: In study A, headache response at 2 hours, or the reduction in headache intensity from "moderate" or "severe" to "mild" or "no pain," was greater for the zolmitriptan 2.5 mg ODT group compared to placebo (63% vs 22%; <i>P</i> <0.0001). For study B, pain-free status at the 2-hour interval was achieved in
zolmitriptan 5 mg ODT (study C) vs	Patients who had a migraine attack and who were instructed to treat it		Secondary: Headache response at 30 minutes (study A); reduction of headache	40.1% of the zolmitriptan patients and 19.8% of the placebo group (<i>P</i> <0.001). At the 24-hour mark, this was maintained in 31.1% of the zolmitriptan patients and 14.6% of placebo patients (<i>P</i> <0.001). In study C, the percentage of zolmitriptan 5 mg ODT and placebo
placebo	as soon as possible (study B)		intensity (studies A and B); pain-free rate at 2 hours (studies A and C); resumption of normal activities (studies B and C)	patients with reduced migraine headache intensity from "moderate" or "severe" to "mild" or "no pain" at 30 minutes were 16% and 13%, respectively (<i>P</i> <0.05). Secondary: In study A, the percentage of zolmitriptan 2.5 mg ODT and placebo patients with reduced migraine headache intensity from "moderate" or "severe" to "mild" or "no pain" at 30 minutes were 16% and 10%, respectively (<i>P</i> =0.054).
				Collective results data from studies A and B showed a greater reduction of headache intensity (excluding mild-intensity attacks) at 30 minutes for the zolmitriptan ODT group compared to placebo (20.1% vs. 12.7%; <i>P</i> <0.005).
				In study A, pain-free status at the 2-hour interval was achieved in 27% of the zolmitriptan 2.5 mg ODT patients and 7% of the placebo group (P <0.0001). In study C, pain-free status at the 2-hour interval was achieved in 31% of the zolmitriptan 5 mg ODT patients and 11% of the placebo group (P <0.0001).
				Patients were able to resume normal activities 2 hours post-treatment in study B in 55.8% of the zolmitriptan ODT-treated cases compared to 34.0% of placebo-treated patients (<i>P</i> <0.001). In study C, there was





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and	Sample Size and Study	End Points	Results
Drug rieginien	Demographics	Duration		
				a greater percentage of patients that were able to resume normal activities 2 hours post-treatment in the zolmitriptan group compared to placebo (51.8% vs 25.7%; <i>P</i> <0.0001).
Dowson et al ⁶²	RCT, PC (vs placebo); OL, RCT,	N=470 (vs placebo)	Primary: Patient preference	Primary: In the trial of zolmitriptan ODT vs placebo, 70% of patients preferred
Zolmitriptan 2.5 mg ODT	XO	N=168	Secondary:	the ODT formation compared to conventional tablets (<i>P</i> value not reported).
VS	Patients with migraines	(vs sumatriptan)	Not reported	In terms of patient preference, there was a greater percentage of
sumatriptan 50 mg tablet	mgrames	N=171 (vs rizatriptan		patients that preferred the zolmitriptan ODT compared to sumatriptan (60.1% vs 39.9%; <i>P</i> =0.013). Patients also found zolmitriptan ODT to
or		ODT)		be more efficacious compared to sumatriptan (76.7% vs 63.4%; P =0.006).
rizatriptan 10 mg ODT		12 weeks (vs sumatriptan)		Patient preference for zolmitriptan ODT was greater than that of
or		,		rizatriptan ODT (70% vs 27%; <i>P</i> <0.001).
placebo				Secondary: Not reported
Charlesworth et al ⁶³	DB, DD, MC, PC, PG, RCT	N=1,547	Primary: 2-hour headache	Primary: The 2-hour headache response was reported to be the following:
Zolmitriptan 0.5 mg IN	Patients aged 18-	Duration not specified	response	placebo 31% and zolmitriptan IN 70% ($P \le 0.01$), 59% ($P \le 0.01$), 55% ($P \le 0.01$) and 42% ($P \le 0.008$) for 5.0, 2.5, 1.0 and 0.5 mg,
VS	65 years with migraine with or	·	Secondary: Early headache	respectively.
zolmitriptan 1.0 mg IN	without aura (defined by IHS),		response at 15, 30 and 45 minutes, headache	Zolmitriptan IN 5.0 mg was more effective than zolmitriptan 2.5 mg oral tablet (61%; <i>P</i> <0.05). Comparisons of the other doses of
vs	minimum 1-year history of migraine		response at 1 and 4 hours post-dose, pain-	zolmitriptan IN to the oral tablet were not statistically significant.
zolmitriptan 2.5 mg IN	symptoms, with an age of onset of		free rates at 15, 30 and 45 minutes and 1, 2	Secondary: The nasal spray at doses of 5.0 and 2.5 mg showed a rapid onset of
vs	migraine <50 years and an average of		and 4 post-dose	action, with a significant difference in headache response compared with placebo from 15 minutes through 4 hours after administration. At
zolmitriptan 5.0 mg	1-6 migraine attacks per month			15 minutes, early headache response was 5% for placebo, 11% for zolmitriptan 5.0 mg IN (<i>P</i> =0.0115), and 8% for zolmitriptan 2.5 mg IN
IIV	during the 2			(P=0.0261).





aphics Duration eceding		Zalacitista a 5.0 and IN and and a single control footballs and a land
(783 XO) 3-65 migraine nout ous on in a ng ear nigraine , with an et of 50 years erage of ne month 2		reported in 11.0% and 5.5% of attacks, respectively. Only 1.9% of patients withdrew from the 12-month trial due to adverse events. Serious adverse events occurred in 0.2% of attacks treated. There was no evidence of increased incidence of adverse events with increasing duration of treatment. Secondary: Efficacy was consistent over time with 2-hour headache response rates of 73%, 74%, 75% and 74% during the four 90-day periods. Long-term usage of zolmitriptan 5 mg IN was associated with a consistently effective response, with 58% of patients experiencing a 2-hour headache response in over 75% of attacks. Pain-free response rates were also consistent over each 90-day
RCT N=24 089	Primary	period (52% to 56%). Primary:
ed, Duration var	Headache response at 2 hours, pain-free results at 2 hours,	
E Hidiens, Service	8-65 migraine hout ious on in a ing ear migraine set of 250 years erage of ne or month 2 ecceding (783 XO) (783 XO) 1 year 1 year N=24,089	(783 XO) Tolerability (incidence and nature of all serious and nonserious adverse events) Secondary: Efficacy measured at 90-day intervals (2-hour headache response, pain-free response rate) RCT N=24,089 Primary: Headache response at 2 hours, pain-free results at 2 hours,





Study and	Study Design	Sample Size	End Points	Results
Drug Regimen	and Demographics	and Study Duration		
	trials which	20.000	response	Rizatriptan 10 mg, 68.6 (66.9 to 70.4).
eletriptan 20 mg	included the			Eletriptan 80 mg, 65.8 (63.6 to 68.3).
	treatment of		Secondary:	F. LIT. accomists with circiles office outs as proctainten 100 man.
VS	moderate or severe migraine attacks		Adverse events	5-HT ₁ agonists with similar efficacy to sumatriptan 100 mg: Almotriptan 12.5 mg, 61.2 (57.6 to 64.8).
eletriptan 40 mg	within 8 hours of			Eletriptan 40 mg, 60.2 (58.0 to 62.4).
o.op.ta io ing	onset in migraine			Zolmitriptan 2.5 mg, 63.5 (60.8 to 66.2).
vs	patients aged 18-			Zolmitriptan 5 mg, 62.8 (60.0 to 65.6).
	65 years, treated			Rizatriptan 5 mg, 62.4 (60.2 to 64.5).
eletriptan 80 mg	with an oral triptan			
V0	at a recommended clinical dose			5-HT ₁ agonists with lower efficacy to sumatriptan 100 mg: Sumatriptan 25 mg, 56.0 (53.1 to 58.9).
VS	ciinicai dose			Naratriptan 2.5 mg, 48.6 (45.7 to 51.4).
frovatriptan 2.5 mg				Eletriptan 20 mg, 48.9 (44.5 to 53.3).
				Frovatriptan 2.5 mg, 41.5 (39.3 to 43.8).
VS				
				Pain-free results at 2 hours (mean % [95% CI]) for sumatriptan 100
naratriptan 2.5 mg				mg are 28.9 (27.2 to 30.5).
vs				5-HT ₁ agonists with higher rates than sumatriptan 100 mg are:
VS				Almotriptan 12.5 mg, 61.2 (NA).
rizatriptan 5 mg				Eletriptan 80 mg, 33.0 (30.5 to 35.4).
				Rizatriptan 10 mg, 40.1 (38.3 to 42.0).
vs				
sinatointas 40 mm				5-HT ₁ agonists with lower rates than sumatriptan 100 mg are:
rizatriptan 10 mg				Sumatriptan 25 mg, 23.4 (21.0 to 25.9). Naratriptan 2.5 mg, 22.4 (20.0 to 24.7).
vs				Eletriptan 20 mg, 16.4 (13.2 to 19.7).
				Liounplan 20 mg, 10.1 (10.2 to 10.1).
sumatriptan 25 mg				All other triptans did not differ from sumatriptan 100 mg.
VS				Sustained pain-free results (mean % [95% CI]) for sumatriptan 100
aumatriatan E0 ma				mg are 20.0 (18.2 to 21.3).
sumatriptan 50 mg				5-HT ₁ agonists with higher rates than sumatriptan 100 mg are:
VS				Almotriptan 12.5 mg, 25.9 (22.7 to 29.1).





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
zolmitriptan 2.5 mg				Rizatriptan 10 mg, 25.3 (23.7 to 26.9). Eletriptan 80 mg, 25.0 (22.8 to 27.2).
vs				5-HT ₁ agonists with lower rates than sumatriptan 100 mg are: Eletriptan 20 mg, 10.6 (7.7 to 13.5).
zolmitriptan 5 mg				Sumatriptan 25 mg, 16.7 (14.5 to 18.9). Naratriptan 2.5 mg, 15.9 (13.4 to 18.5).
vs sumatriptan 100 mg				No differences were found with other triptan doses.
vs				Secondary: Adverse effects – placebo subtracted adverse effects (mean [95% CI]) for sumatriptan 100 mg: 13.2 (8.6 to 17.8).
placebo				5-HT ₁ agonists with lower rates than sumatriptan 100 mg are: Almotriptan 12.5 mg, 1.8 (-2.5 to 6.2). Naratriptan 2.5 mg, 2.4 (-2.2 to 7.0).
				Central nervous system adverse effects-placebo subtracted adverse effects (mean [95% CI]) for sumatriptan 100 mg: 6.3 (3.2 to 9.5).
				5-HT ₁ agonist with higher central nervous system adverse effect rates than sumatriptan 100 mg was eletriptan 80 mg: 14.6 (10.2 to 19.0)
				Rates for all other triptans and doses largely overlap.
				5-HT ₁ agonist with lower central nervous system adverse effect rates than sumatriptan 100 mg was almotriptan 12.5 mg: -1.5 (-3.9 to 1.0).
				Rates for all other triptans and doses largely overlap.
Brandes et al ⁶⁶ Combination sumatriptan 85	DB, MC, PC, PG, RCT	Trial 1: N=1,677	Primary: Efficacy of sumatriptan/naproxen	Primary: Headache relief 2 hours post-dose: Trial 1: sumatriptan/naproxen significantly more effective; 65% vs
mg/ naproxen sodium 500 mg 1 tablet administered at	Men and women 18 to 65 years old,	Trial 2: N=1,736	compared to placebo (2 hour headache relief	placebo 28% (P <0.001), sumatriptan 55% (P =0.009) and naproxen 44% (P <0.001).





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
onset of moderate to severe migraine vs sumatriptan 85 mg 1 tablet administered at onset of moderate to severe migraine vs naproxen sodium 500 mg 1 tablet administered at onset of moderate to severe migraine vs placebo	with a 6 month history of migraine with or without aura, with an average of 2 to 6 moderate or severe episodes monthly 3 months prior to study onset	Single attack administration	and 2 hour post dose absence of photophobia, phonophobia and nausea, efficacy of sumatriptan/naproxen compared to sumatriptan and naproxen monotherapy (2 hour headache relief and sustained pain free response Secondary: 2 hour pain free response, sustained headache relief, sustained absence of nausea, photophobia, phonophobia, use of rescue medications, headache recurrence 24 hour incidence of vomiting	Trial 2: sumatriptan/naproxen significantly more effective; vs 57%, placebo 29% (<i>P</i> <0.001), sumatriptan 50% (<i>P</i> =0.03) and naproxen 43% (<i>P</i> <0.001). 2 hour post-dose absence of photophobia, phonophobia and nausea: Trial 1: sumatriptan/naproxen significantly more effective than placebo (58%, 61%, 71% vs 36%, 38%, 65%) (<i>P</i> <0.001 for all measures). Trial 2: sumatriptan/naproxen significantly more effective than placebo (50%, 56%, 65% vs 32%, 34%, 64%) (<i>P</i> <0.001 for all measures). Sustained pain free response: Trial 1: sumatriptan/naproxen significantly more effective; 25% vs sumatriptan 16% (<i>P</i> <0.01) and naproxen 10% (<i>P</i> <0.001). Trial 2: sumatriptan/naproxen significantly more effective; 23% vs sumatriptan 14% and naproxen 10% (<i>P</i> <0.001 for all measures). Secondary: 2 hour pain free response: Trial 1: sumatriptan/naproxen significantly more effective; 34% vs sumatriptan 25% (<i>P</i> =0.009), naproxen 15% (<i>P</i> value not reported) and placebo 9% (<i>P</i> <0.001). Trial 2: sumatriptan/naproxen significantly more effective; 30% vs sumatriptan 23% (<i>P</i> =0.009), naproxen16% (<i>P</i> value not reported) and placebo 10% (<i>P</i> <0.001). Sustained headache relief: Trial 1: sumatriptan/naproxen significantly more effective; 48% vs sumatriptan 35% (<i>P</i> <0.001), naproxen 30% (<i>P</i> value not reported), placebo 18% (<i>P</i> <0.001).





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
				Trial 2: sumatriptan/naproxen 44%, sumatriptan 33% (<i>P</i> =0.002), naproxen 28% (<i>P</i> value not reported), placebo 17% (<i>P</i> <0.001).
				Sustained absence of nausea, photophobia, phonophobia: Trial 1: Patients randomized to sumatriptan/naproxen experienced sustained benefit compared to placebo (<i>P</i> <0.001 for all measures) and compared to sumatriptan (<i>P</i> =0.002, <i>P</i> =002, <i>P</i> <0.001).
				Trial 2: sumatriptan/naproxen exhibited significant sustained benefit compared to placebo (P <0.001). No significant difference in sustained absence of nausea compared to sumatriptan (P =0.20). Significant difference in sustained absence of photophobia (P =0.05) and phonophobia (P =0.01).
				Use of rescue medications: Trial 1: percentage of patients who used rescue medications significantly less in the sumatriptan/naproxen group; 22% vs 32% sumatriptan (<i>P</i> =0.004), 38% naproxen (<i>P</i> value not reported) and 53% placebo (<i>P</i> <0.001).
				Trial 2: percentage of patients who used rescue medications significantly less in the sumatriptan/naproxen group; 23% vs 38% sumatriptan (<i>P</i> <0.001), 39% naproxen (<i>P</i> value not reported) and 58% placebo (<i>P</i> <0.001).
				Recurrence of headache: Trial 1: number of patients with headache recurrence; sumatriptan/ naproxen 30, sumatriptan 47, naproxen 25, placebo 26.
				Trial 2: number of patients with headache recurrence; sumatriptan/naproxen 26, sumatriptan 34, naproxen 35, placebo 34.
				24 hour incidence of vomiting: Trial 1: sumatriptan/naproxen not significantly more effective than sumatriptan; 4% vs 7% (P =0.14).





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
				Trial 2: sumatriptan/naproxen significantly more effective than sumatriptan; 4% vs 9% (<i>P</i> =0.004).
Combination sumatriptan 85 mg/naproxen 500 mg 1 tablet administered at onset of moderate to severe migraine vs sumatriptan 85 mg 1 tablet administered at onset of moderate to severe migraine vs naproxen 500 mg 1 tablet administered at onset of moderate to severe migraine vs placebo	DB,MC, PC, PG, RCT Men and women 18 to 65 years old with a ≥6 month history of migraine attacks, who had first migraine attack before age of 50, and experienced average of 2 to 6 moderate to severe attacks in previous 3 months	Trial 1 N=1,468 Trial 2 N=1,441 Single attack administration	Primary: Ability to function, productivity assessed by 24 hour post dose PAQ, patient satisfaction assessed by 24 hour post dose PPMQ Secondary: Not reported	Primary: Ability to function: Trial 1: significant difference between sumatriptan/naproxen vs naproxen and placebo during hour 2 through 5 post-dose (<i>P</i> <0.001). Trial 2: significant difference between sumatriptan/naproxen vs naproxen and placebo (<i>P</i> <0.001) and sumatriptan (<i>P</i> <0.005) 2-5 hours post-dose. 24 hour post-dose PAQ productivity: Trial 1: significantly less total lost productivity with sumatriptan/naproxen, 33%, vs naproxen (<i>P</i> =0.016) and placebo (<i>P</i> <0.001). Trial 2: significantly less total lost productivity with sumatriptan/naproxen, 27%, vs naproxen (<i>P</i> =0.016), placebo (<i>P</i> <0.001) and sumatriptan (<i>P</i> =0.002). 24 hour post-dose PPMQ: Trial 1: overall satisfaction with effectiveness in the sumatriptan/naproxen group, 50% vs 41%, 35% and 21% in the sumatriptan, naproxen and placebo groups (<i>P</i> values not reported). Trial 2: overall satisfaction with effectiveness in the sumatriptan/naproxen group, 53%, vs 42%, 35% and 19% with the sumatriptan, naproxen and placebo groups (<i>P</i> values not reported).
				Secondary: Not reported.
Silberstein et al ⁶⁸	DB, MC, PC, PG, RCT	Trial 1 N=658	Primary: 2 hour pain free	Primary: 2 hour pain free response:
Combination sumatriptan 85	1101	000=N1	response	Trial 1: sumatriptan/naproxen significantly more effective than
mg/ naproxen sodium 500	Men and women	Trial 2		placebo, 52% vs 17% (<i>P</i> <0.001).
mg 1 tablet administered at	18 to 65 years old	N=647	Secondary:	
onset of migraine while pain	with a 6 month		0.5, 1 and 4 hour pain	Trial 2: sumatriptan/naproxen significantly more effective than





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
was mild not more than 1 hour after onset vs placebo	history of migraine with or without aura and an average of 2 to 6 attacks per month in 3 months prior to study onset.	Single attack administration	free response, sustained pain free response, 2 and 4 hour migraine free response, use of rescue medication within 24 hour post dose, 2 and 4 hour nausea, photophobia, phonophobia, 2 and 4 hour neck pain/discomfort and sinus pain/pressure	placebo, 51% vs 15% (<i>P</i> <0.001). Secondary: 0.5, 1 and 4 hour pain free response: Trial 1: sumatriptan/naproxen significantly more effective vs placebo. Percent of patients pain free at 0.5 hours, 5% vs. 2% (<i>P</i> =0.016). At 1 hour, 20% vs 7% (<i>P</i> <0.001). At 4 hours, 70% vs 25% (<i>P</i> <0.001). Trial 2: sumatriptan/naproxen significantly more effective vs placebo. Percent of patients pain free at 0.5 hours, 6% vs 2% (<i>P</i> =0.021). At 1 hour, 24% vs 7% (<i>P</i> <0.001). At 4 hours, 67% vs 25% (<i>P</i> <0.001). Sustained pain free response: Trial 1: significantly greater with sumatriptan/naproxen vs placebo, 45% vs 12% (<i>P</i> <0.001). Trial 2: significantly greater with sumatriptan/naproxen vs placebo, 40% vs 14% (<i>P</i> <0.001). 2 and 4 hour migraine free response: Trial 1: sumatriptan/naproxen significantly more effective than placebo, 45% and 63% vs 15% (<i>P</i> value not reported) and 24% (<i>P</i> <0.05). Trial 2: sumatriptan/naproxen significantly more effective than placebo, 46% and 64% vs 14% (<i>P</i> value not reported) and 25% (<i>P</i> <0.05). Use of rescue medications within 24 hours post dose: Trial 1: significant difference between treatment groups, 20% in the sumatriptan/naproxen group vs 47% with placebo (<i>P</i> <0.001). Trial 2: significant difference between treatment groups, 16% vs 45% (<i>P</i> <0.001).





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
				Trial 1: significantly lower percentage of patients in sumatriptan/naproxen group vs placebo (<i>P</i> =0.018, <i>P</i> <0.001, <i>P</i> <0.001). Trial 2: significantly lower percentage of patients in sumatriptan/naproxen group vs placebo (<i>P</i> <0.001 for all measures). 2 and 4 hour neck pain/discomfort and sinus pain/pressure: Trial 1: sumatriptan/naproxen significantly more effective vs placebo (<i>P</i> <0.001 for all measures). Trial 2: sumatriptan/naproxen significantly more effective vs placebo (<i>P</i> <0.001 for all measures).
Smith T et al ⁶⁹ Combination sumatriptan 85 mg/ naproxen sodium 500 mg 1 tablet administered at onset of moderate to severe migraine	Phase III, OL, MC Men and women 18 to 35 years old with first migraine attack before 50 years, with an average of 2 to 8 moderate to severe attacks per month in 6 months prior to study onset	N=600 12 months	Primary: Pain severity, change from baseline in PPMQ scores, change from baseline in MSQ scores Secondary: Not reported	Primary: A total of 81% of all attacks were reported pain free at 2 hours post dose. At 3 months, the percentage of satisfied or very satisfied patients increased on all 8 PPMQ items. At 12 months, PPMQ results remained high. Mean MSQ scores increased by 13 to 15 points at 3 months. 3 and 12 month MSQ scores were significantly improved from baseline (<i>P</i> <0.001). Secondary: Not reported.
Winner P, et al ⁷⁰ Combination sumatriptan 85 mg/naproxen sodium 500 mg 1 tablet administered at onset of acute migraine attack	MC, OL Men and women 18 to 35 years old with first migraine attack before 50 years, with a 6 month history of migraine with or without aura, and an average of 2 to 8 severe attacks	N=562 12 months	Primary: Clinical adverse events, clinical chemical analysis Secondary: Not reported	Primary: For overall safety data, 66% of patients reported at least one treatment-emergent adverse event. A total of 41 of 565 patients withdrew from the study due to an adverse event, 36 of which were non-serious. Overall, 14 patients had one or more serious adverse event; none were fatal or lifethreatening. All were judged unrelated to treatment except one case of acute coronary syndrome. Clinical chemical analysis observed at 12 months. Range of 0.3 to 1.7 decrease in hemoglobin levels; no patient reported symptoms of





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
	per month in 6 months prior to study onset			blood loss. Minimal increases in ALT levels in 9 patients; none greater than 2 times the ULN. Minimal increases in serum creatinine levels in 9 patients; none exceeded 1.2 times the ULN. Minimal increases in BUN in 7 patients; highest being 30 mg/dL (1.3xULN). Secondary:
				Not reported.
Menstrual Migraine				
Allais et al ⁷¹ Almotriptan 12.5 mg	DB, MC, PC, R, RETRO Patients with 12-	N=255 24 hours	Primary: Pain relief (from severe or moderate to mild or no pain) at 0.5, 1, 1.5	Primary: In the intent-to-treat group, almotriptan did not differ significantly from zolmitriptan for any of the variables tested.
VS	month history of migraine and 2-6		and 2 hours; pain free at 0.5, 1,1.5 and 2	Two hours after dosing, 67.9% of the 136 women who took almotriptan and 68.6% of the women who took zolmitriptan (<i>P</i> =0.900)
zolmitriptan 2.5 mg	migraine attacks in each of the two moths preceding the trial		hours; sustained pain free 2 hours with no recurrence and no rescue medication over 24 hours); recurrence within 24 hours of treatment; and level of functional impairment before intake and after 0.5, 1, 1.5 and 2 hours	had obtained pain relief. Evolution of pain from "moderate/severe" to "mild/no pain" was also similar in both groups, 14.9% of almotriptan-treated women vs 11.9% of zolmitriptan-treated women had improved at 0.5 hours (<i>P</i> =0.477). A pain-free state at 2 hours was reported by 44.9% of women on almotriptan and 41.2% on zolmitriptan (<i>P</i> =0.554); 24 hours after dosing 56.6% and 64.7% of patients, respectively, were pain free (<i>P</i> =0.187).
			Secondary: Tolerability defined as the number of patients reporting adverse	Recurrences 2-24 hours post-dose were reported in 32.8% and 34.7% of patients respectively (<i>P</i> =0.833). Use of rescue medication 2-24 hours after dose was reported by
			events within 24 hours after dosing	21.8% of almotriptan and 25.4% of zolmitriptan (<i>P</i> =0.499). A sustained pain-free response was reported by 29.3% of almotriptan
				patients and 27.1% of zolmitriptan patients ($P = 0.698$). Secondary: Adverse effects in the 24 hours post-dosing were reported in 19.8%





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
				of almotriptan group and 23.1% of zolmitriptan group; 13.2% and 17.6% (<i>P</i> =0.328) respectively, were considered to be triptan-related.
Silberstein et al ⁷²	DB, MC, PC, XO	N=443	Primary: Efficacy of frovatriptan	Primary: The incidence of menstrual migraine was 67% (n=468) in the placebo
Frovatriptan 2.5 mg daily	Women migraneurs aged >18 years, >1-year history of	3 perimenstrual periods	in menstrual migraine given for 6 days (2 days before menses) in	treated group compared with 52% (n=484; <i>P</i> <0.0001) and 41% (n=483; <i>P</i> <0.0001) in the frovatriptan 2.5 mg daily and twice daily groups, respectively.
	migraine, and an		comparison with	
frovatriptan 2.5 mg twice daily	attack frequency of at least 3 to 4		placebo	Significant reductions in headache severity were observed in frovatriptan-treated patients (<i>P</i> <0.0001).
vs	(perimenstrual period)		Secondary: Not reported	Frovatriptan administered twice daily was more efficacious than once-daily administration (<i>P</i> <0.0001).
placebo				Secondary:
				Not reported
Cluster Headache			<u>, </u>	
Siow et al ⁷³	OL	N=17	Primary: Headache occurrence	Primary:
Frovatriptan 2.5-5.0 mg daily for up to 3 weeks	Median age=43, cluster headache	3 weeks	in patients with episodic and chronic	8 of 9 patients with episodic cluster headache reported at least 75% improvement, with 100% relief within 48 hours of treatment.
lor up to a weeks	history 1-38 years		cluster headaches for preventative and	3 of 8 patients with chronic cluster headaches had complete relief.
			transitional therapy	No adverse events reported.
			Secondary:	Secondary:
			Not reported	Not reported
Gobel et al ⁷⁴	MC, OL	N=52	Primary:	Primary:
Sumatriptan SC 6 mg	Patients 18-65	1 year	Efficacy of therapy defined by freedom	Therapy was successful in 88% of all attacks (<i>P</i> value not reported).
,	years of age with a diagnosis of cluster headache or	,	from pain within 15 minutes in more than 90% of attacks	Freedom from pain within 15 minutes in more than 90% of attacks was reported by 42% of patients (<i>P</i> value not reported).
	episodic cluster			Secondary:
	headache		Secondary: Tolerability defined by	Adverse events were reported by 62% of patients (<i>P</i> value not reported).





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and	Sample Size and Study	End Points	Results
	Demographics	Duration		
			adverse effects	
-75			reported by patients	
Ekbom et al ⁷⁵	DB, MC, PC, R, XO	N=134	Primary:	Primary:
Companyintan Company	Patients 18-65	Cinale dese	Headache	At 10 minutes, headache relief was reported by 25% (placebo), 49%
Sumatriptan 6 mg SC	years with a	Single dose study	improvement to mild or no pain at 5, 10 and 15	(6 mg), and 63% (12 mg) of patients.
VS	diagnosis of cluster	Sludy	minutes	At 15 minutes headache relief was reported by 35% (placebo), 75%
٧٥	headache or		minutes	(6 mg), and 80% (12 mg; <i>P</i> <0.001 for all comparisons vs placebo).
sumatriptan 12 mg SC	episodic cluster		Secondary:	(6 mg), and 66% (12 mg, 7 kersor for all companions to placeso).
3	headache		Not reported	P was not significant for 6 mg vs 12 mg.
vs				
				Secondary:
placebo				Not reported
Cardiovascular Safety	T		Γ	T
Elkind et al ⁷⁶	DB, MC, PC, PG,	N=75	Primary:	Primary:
Free vetwinten Q.F. m.s. O.D.	RCT	Cinala miavaina	Cardiovascular effects	Similar numbers of patients experienced ST segment changes
Frovatriptan 2.5 mg QD	Men and women	Single migraine attack (follow-up	assessed by a 24-hour Holter monitor in	indicative of ischemia on the 24-hour Holter monitor (11% frovatriptan-treated vs 13% placebo-treated).
vs	18 years and older	at 36 hours)	patients administered	inovatriptan-treated vs 13 % placebo-treated).
\ \frac{1}{3}	with a history of	at oo nours)	frovatriptan 2.5 mg for	All episodes of myocardial ischemia or arrhythmias were
placebo	migraine with or		the acute relief of	asymptomatic and did not result in hemodynamic compromise.
1.	without aura for		migraine headache	
	longer than 1 year,			The incidence of arrhythmias was higher in the placebo-treated
	with an attack		Secondary:	patients than frovatriptan group (11% vs 3%, respectively).
	frequency of 1-6		Not reported	
	moderate or severe			There were no differences in heart rate or diastolic or systolic blood
	migraines per month			pressure. The incidence of adverse events was similar in the
	monun			frovatriptan treated and placebo-treated groups.
				Secondary:
				Not reported
Fleishaker et al ⁷⁷	DB, R, SD, 3-way,	N=20	Primary:	Primary:
	XO		Assess cardiovascular	Almotriptan produced a dose-related change in systolic blood
Almotriptan 12.5 mg		Single dose	effects of almotriptan in	pressure for both 4 and 12 hours post-dose. Mean changes from
	Patients with mild-		patients with mild-to-	baseline from 0-4 hours were 1.59 <u>+</u> 3.88, 1.85 <u>+</u> 5.94, and 4.84 <u>+</u> 5.99
VS	to-moderate		moderate hypertension	mm Hg for systolic blood pressure and 1.38+6.95, 6.25+9.54, and





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
almotriptan 25 mg	hypertension controlled by medications		controlled by antihypertensive medication	11.0±10.6 mm Hg for diastolic blood pressure for placebo, almotriptan 12.5 mg, almotriptan 25 mg, respectively. Secondary:
placebo			Secondary: Assess relationship between plasma concentrations and cardiovascular effects in a population that is possibly sensitive to the vasoconstrictive properties of the 5-HT ₁ agonists	Plasma concentrations of almotriptan increased in a dose-related manner. There were no statistically significant differences in dose-related pharmacokinetic parameters between doses, indicating that the pharmacokinetics of almotriptan were linear for the dosage range studied for patients with controlled hypertension.

Drug regimen abbreviations: IN=intranasal, SC=subcutaneous

Study abbreviations: Cl=confidence interval, DB=double-blind, DD=double dummy, MA=meta-analysis, MC=multicenter, OL=open-label, OR=odds ratio, OS=observational, PC=placebo-controlled, PG=parallel-group, SD=single dose, R=randomized, RCT=randomized controlled trial, RETRO=retrospective, XO=crossover

Miscellaneous abbreviations: ALT=alanine transaminase, BUN=blood urea nitrogen, ECG=electrocardiogram, HR=hazard ratio, IHS=International Headache Society, MqoLQ=Migraine Quality of Life Questionnaire, MSQ=Migraine-Specific Quality of Life Questionnaire, NNH=number needed to harm, NNT=numbers needed to treat, ODT=orally disintegrating tablet, PAQ=Productivity Assessment Questionnaire, PPMQ=Patient Perception of Migraine Questionnaire, RB=relative benefit, RR=risk ratio, ULN=upper limit of normal





Special Populations

Table 5. Special Populations⁴⁻¹²

Generic			tion and Precaution		
Name	Elderly/ Children	Renal dysfunction	Hepatic dysfunction	Pregnancy Category	Excreted in Breast Milk
Single Entity I	Products				
Almotriptan	Safety and effectiveness in pediatric patients have not been established. Dose reduction not required in the elderly.	The max daily dose should not exceed 12.5 mg per 24 hours; and a starting dose of 6.25 mg should be used.	The kinetics have not been assessed in liver dysfunction. The max daily dose should not exceed 12.5 mg per 24 hours; and a starting dose of 6.25 mg should be used.	С	Unknown in humans.
Eletriptan	Safety and effectiveness in pediatric patients have not been established. Dose reduction not required in the elderly.	No dosage adjustment required.	No dose Adjustment necessary in mild to moderate impairment; therapy should be avoided in severe impairment.	С	Yes; excreted in human breast milk.
Frovatriptan	Safety and effectiveness in pediatric patients have not been established. Dose reduction not required in the elderly.	No dosage adjustment required.	No dose adjustment necessary in mild to moderate impairment.	С	Unknown in humans.
Naratriptan	Safety and effectiveness in pediatric patients have not been established. Use in the elderly is not recommended.	Use is contraindicated with CrCl < 15 mL/min.	Not studied in hepatic impairment.	С	Unknown in humans.
Rizatriptan	Safety and effectiveness in pediatric patients have not been established. Dose reduction not required in the elderly.	No dosage adjustment required; extra caution should be used in hemodialysis.	No dose adjustment necessary in mild to moderate impairment.	С	Unknown in humans.
Sumatriptan	Safety and effectiveness in	No dosage adjustment	The max single dose should not	С	Yes; excreted in



Generic		Population and Precaution										
Name	Elderly/ Children	Renal dysfunction	Hepatic dysfunction	Pregnancy Category	Excreted in Breast Milk							
	pediatric patients have not been established. Dose reduction not required in the elderly.	required.	exceed 50 mg in liver disease.		human breast milk; avoid breast- feeding for 12 hours after dose.							
Zolmitriptan	Safety and effectiveness in pediatric patients have not been established. Safety and efficacy not evaluated in the elderly.	No dosage adjustment required.	Dose reduction recommended in liver disease.	С	Unknown in humans.							
Combination	Product											
Sumatriptan/ naproxen	Safety and effectiveness in pediatric patients have not been established. Dose reduction not required in the elderly.	Not recommended if CrCl < 30 mL/min.	Contraindicated in hepatic impairment.	С	Yes; both agents are excreted in human breast milk.							





Adverse Drug Events

Table 6. Adverse Drug Events (%)^{4-12,78}

rable 6. Adverse Drug Eve	Single Entity								Combination	
Adverse Event(s)	Almotriptan	Eletriptan	Frovatriptan	Naratriptan	Rizatriptan	Sumatriptan Injection	Sumatriptan Nasal Spray	Sumatriptan Oral Tablets	Zolmitriptan	Sumatriptan/ naproxen
Cardiovascular										<u> </u>
Acute coronary syndrome	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1
Angina	-	<1	-	-	<1	-	-	-	<1	-
Arrhythmia	-	<1	-	-	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	-
Atrial fibrillation	-	-	-	<1	-	<1	<1	<1	-	-
Atrial flutter	-	-	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-	≤1
Bradycardia	-	-	<1	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-
Chest tightness/pain	-	1-4	2	-	5	2-3	-	1-2	2-4	3
Congestive heart failure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1
Coronary artery vasospasm	<1	-	-	<1	-	-	-	-	<1	-
Cyanosis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<1	-
Electrocardiogram changes	-	-	<1	-	-	<1	<1	<1	-	-
Flushing	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1
Heart block	-	-	-	-	-	<1	<1	<1	-	-
Hypertension	<1	<1	-	-	1-10	1	-	1	<1	≤1
Hypertensive crisis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<1	-
Hypotension	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	•
Myocardial ischemia	<1	-	-	-	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	ı
Myocardial infarction	<1	-	-	<1	<1	ı	-	-	<1	ı
Myocarditis, viral	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1
Palpitation	-	>1	1	-	1-10	ı	-	1	≤2	>1
Peripheral vascular disease	-	<1	-	-	-	ı	-	-	-	ı
PR prolongation	-	-	-	<1	-	ı	-	-	-	1
Premature ventricle	-	-	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-	-
contractions										
Prinzmetal angina	-	-	-	-	-	<1	<1	<1	-	-
Pulmonary embolism	-	-	-	-	-	<1	<1	<1	-	-
QTc prolongation	-	-	-	<1	-	-	-	-	<1	-
Tachycardia	<1	<1	<1	-	<1	-	-	-	-	≤1
Thrombophlebitis	-	-	-	-	-	<1	<1	<1	-	-
Thrombosis	-	-	-	-	-	<1	<1	<1	-	-
Vasospasm	-	<1	-	-	-	ı	-	-	-	ı





	Single Entity								Combination	
Adverse Event(s)	Almotriptan	Eletriptan	Frovatriptan	Naratriptan	Rizatriptan	Sumatriptan Injection	Sumatriptan Nasal Spray	Sumatriptan Oral Tablets	Zolmitriptan	Sumatriptan/ naproxen
Ventricular extrasystoles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1
Ventricular failure, right	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1
Ventricular fibrillation	<1	-	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ventricular tachycardia	<1	-	-	<1	ı	ı	-	-	-	-
Central Nervous System										
Abnormal dreams	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Agitation	-	<1	<1	-	-	<1	<1	<1	-	-
Amnesia	-	-	<1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
Anxiety	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	≤1
Aphasia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1
Ataxia	-	-	-	-	ı	ı	-	-	<1	-
Attention disturbances	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1
Back pain	-	>1	<1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Burning	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	1	-	≤1
Cerebral ischemia	-	-	-	-	-	<1	<1	<1	<1	-
Cerebrovascular accident	-	-	-	-	-	<1	<1	<1	-	-
Cold sensation	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	≤1
Confusion	-	<1	<1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Convulsions	-	-	-	-	-	<1	<1	<1	-	-
Depersonalization	-	<1	<1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Depression	-	<1	<1	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1
Disorientation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1
Dizziness	>1	3-7	8	1-10	1-10	12	1-2	>1	6-10	4
Drowsiness	-	-	-	1-10	1-10	3	-	>1	-	-
Dysesthesia	-	-	1	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
Emotional lability	-	<1	<1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Euphoria	-	<1	<1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fatigue	-	-	5	1-10	13-30	1	-	2-3	-	≥1
Feeling strange	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
Hallucination	-	-	-	<1	-	<1	<1	<1	<1	-
Headache	>1	3-4	4	-	-	2	<1	>1	<1	-
Hearing loss	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
Heaviness	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	-
Hot/cold sensation	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hyperacusis	-	-	<1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-





	Single Entity										
Adverse Event(s)	Almotriptan	Eletriptan	Frovatriptan	Naratriptan	Rizatriptan	Sumatriptan Injection	Sumatriptan Nasal Spray	Sumatriptan Oral Tablets	Zolmitriptan	Sumatriptan/ naproxen	
Hyperesthesia	-	<1	<1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	
Hyperkinesia	-	<1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	
Hypertonia	-	>1	<1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	
Hypoesthesia	-	>1	1	-	-	1	-	-	1-2	-	
Hypotonia	-	-	<1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	
Impaired concentration	-	-	<1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	
Incoordination	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Insomnia	-	<1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1	
Intracranial pressure increased	-	-	-	-	-	<1	<1	<1	-	-	
Mental impairment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1	
Nervousness	-	<1	<1	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1	
Neuropathy	<1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Optic neuropathy	-	-	-	-	-	<1	<1	<1	-	-	
Pain	-	>1	1	-	-	-	-	1-2	2-3	-	
Paresthesia	1	3-4	4	1-10		14	<1	3-5	5-9	2	
Personality disorder	-	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Psychomotor disorders	-	-	-	-	-	<1	<1	<1	-	≤1	
Somnolence	>1	3-7	-	-	-	-	-	>1	5-8	3	
Stupor	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Subarachnoid hemorrhage	-	-	-	-	-	<1	<1	<1	-	-	
Vertigo	<1	>1	<1	-	-	-	-	<1-2	≤2	≤1	
Warm/cold sensation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2-3	5-7	-	
Warm/hot sensation	-	-	-	-	-	11	-	-	-	>1	
Weakness	-	4-10	-	-	-	5	-	-	3-9	≥1	
Dermatological											
Angioedema	-	-	-	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-	
Bullous eruption	-	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Cheilitis	-	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Flushing	-	-	-	-	1-10	7	<1	<1	-	-	
Itching	-	<1	<1	-	<1	<1	<1	<1	-	-	
Photosensitivity	-	-	-	-	-	<1	<1	<1	<1	-	
Pruritis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1	
Rash	<1	<1	-	-	-	<1	<1	<1	<1	≤1	
Sweating	-	>1	1	-	-	2	-	-	<3	-	





	Single Entity										
Adverse Event(s)	Almotriptan	Eletriptan	Frovatriptan	Naratriptan	Rizatriptan	Sumatriptan Injection	Sumatriptan Nasal Spray	Sumatriptan Oral Tablets	Zolmitriptan	Sumatriptan/ naproxen	
Toxic epidermal necrolysis	-	-	-	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-	
Urticaria	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<1	≤1	
Vasculitis	-	-	-	-	-	<1	<1	<1	-	-	
Endocrine and Metabolic											
Diabetes mellitus	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1	
Edema	-	<1	-	-	-	<1	<1	<1	-		
Goiter	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1	
Growth hormone increase (mild)	-	-	-	-	1-10	-	-	-	-	-	
Hot flashes	-	-	<1	-	1-10	-	-	-	-	-	
Hypocalcemia	-	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Hypoglycemia	-	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1	
Hypothyroidism	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1	
Liver function tests abnormal or elevated	-	<1	-	-	-	<1	<1	<1	-	-	
Menstrual irregularity	-	-	-	-	-	<1	<1	<1	-	-	
TSH levels increased	-	-	-	-	-	<1	<1	<1	-	-	
Gastrointestinal											
Abdominal aortic aneurysm	-	-	-	-	-	<1	<1	<1	-	-	
Abdominal distension	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1	
Abdominal pain	-	1-2	1	-	1-10	1	<1	<1	-	≥1	
Bad taste	-	-	-	-	-	-	13-24	-	-	-	
Biliary colic	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1	
Colitis	<1	-	-	-	-	<1	<1	<1	<1	≤1	
Constipation	-	<1	<1	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1	
Diarrhea	-	<1	1	-	-	<1	<1	1	-	≤1	
Diverticulitis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1	
Dysgeusia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1	
Dyspepsia	-	1-2	2	-	-	<1	<1	<1	1-3	2	
Dysphagia	-	1-2	<1	-	-	1	<1	<1	<2	≤1	
Eructation	-	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Flatulence	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1	
Gastric ulcer	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1	
Gastritis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1	
Gastroesophageal reflex	-	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1	





	Single Entity										
Adverse Event(s)	Almotriptan	Eletriptan	Frovatriptan	Naratriptan	Rizatriptan	Sumatriptan Injection	Sumatriptan Nasal Spray	Sumatriptan Oral Tablets	Zolmitriptan	Sumatriptan/ naproxen	
Gastrointestinal pain	-	-	-	-	-	<1	<1	<1	-	-	
Hematemesis	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	<1	-	
Hiccup	-	-	<1	-	-	ı	-	-	-	-	
Hypersalivation	-	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Hyposalivation	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	>1	-	-	
Intestinal obstruction	-	-	-	-	-	<1	<1	<1	-	-	
Irritable bowel syndrome	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1	
Melena	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<1	-	
Nausea	1-2	4-8	-	1-10	1-10	-	11-13	>1	4-9	3	
Pancreatitis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<1	-	
Peptic ulcer disease	-	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-	<1	-	
Splenic infarction	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<1	-	
Swallowing disorders	-	-	-	-	-	<1	<1	<1	-	-	
Taste alteration	-	<1	<1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Vomiting	1-2	-	1	1-10	-	-	11-13	>1	-	≤1	
Genitourinary											
Acute renal failure	-	-	-	-	-	<1	<1	<1	-	-	
Dysuria	-	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Hematuria	-	-	-	-	-	<1	<1	1	-	-	
Impotence	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Micturition	-	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Nephrolithiasis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1	
Nocturia	-	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Polyuria	-	<1	<1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Renal insufficiency	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1	
Hematologic											
Anemia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1	
Eosinophilia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<1	-	
Hemolytic anemia	-	-	-	-	-	<1	<1	1	-	-	
Pancytopenia	-	-	-	-	-	<1	<1	<1	-	-	
Purpura	-	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Thrombocytopenia	-	-	-	-	-	<1	<1	<1	<1	-	
Musculoskeletal	•	•		•	•		•		•	•	
Abnormal gait	-	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1	
Abnormal reflexes	-	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	





	Single Entity										
Adverse Event(s)	Almotriptan	Eletriptan	Frovatriptan	Naratriptan	Rizatriptan	Sumatriptan Injection	Sumatriptan Nasal Spray	Sumatriptan Oral Tablets	Zolmitriptan	Sumatriptan/ naproxen	
Arthralgia	-	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1	
Arthrosis	-	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Asthenia	-	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Ataxia	-	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Back pain	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1	
Bradykinesia	-	-	-	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-	
CPK increase	-	<1	<1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Dystonias	-	-	-	-	-	<1	<1	<1	-	-	
Facial palsy	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1	
Involuntary muscle contractions	-	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Joint ache	-	-	-	-	-	<1	<1	<1	-	-	
Muscle cramps	-	-	<1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	
Muscle tightness	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	>1	
Muscle stiffness	-	-	-	-	-	<1	<1	<1	-	-	
Muscle weakness	-	-	<1	-	-	1	-	-	-	≥1	
Myalgia	-	<1	<1	-	<1	2	-	1	1-2	≤1	
Myasthenia	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-	-	<2	-	
Numbness	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	1	-	-	
Rigors	-	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Skeletal pain	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Tremor	-	<1	<1	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1	
Tetany	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<1	-	
Respiratory											
Asthma	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1	
Bronchospasm	-	-	-	-	-	<1	<1	<1	<1	-	
Dyspnea	-	<1	<1	-	1-10	-	-	1	-	≤1	
Esophagitis	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-	-	<1	-	
Hyperventilation	-	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Laryngitis	-	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Nasal disorder/ discomfort	-	-	-	-	-	2	2-4	-	-	-	
Nasal inflammation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	
Nose/throat hemorrhage	-	-	-	-	-	<1	<1	1	-	-	
Pharyngitis	-	>1	<1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Pleurisy	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1	





	Single Entity										
Adverse Event(s)	Almotriptan	Eletriptan	Frovatriptan	Naratriptan	Rizatriptan	Sumatriptan Injection	Sumatriptan Nasal Spray	Sumatriptan Oral Tablets	Zolmitriptan	Sumatriptan/ naproxen	
Rhinitis	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	
Sinusitis	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	
Throat discomfort	-	-	-	-	-	3	1-2	-	-	-	
Throat or neck pain/pressure	-	-	-	1-10	<1	-	-	-	-	-	
Upper respiratory inflammation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	
Wheezing	-	-	-	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-	
Other		•		•	•		•	•	•	•	
Accommodation disorders	-	-	-	-	-	<1	<1	<1	-	-	
Allergic reaction	-	<1	-	<1	-	<1	<1	1	1	-	
Anaphylactoid reaction	-	-	-	-	-	<1	<1	<1	<1	-	
Anaphylaxis	-	-	-	-	-	<1	<1	<1	<1	-	
Angioneurotic edema	-	-	-	-	-	<1	<1	<1	-	-	
Bruising	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1	
Cataract	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1	
Conjunctival hemorrhage	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1	
Conjunctivitis	-	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1	
Cough	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1	
Deafness	-	-	-	-	-	<1	<1	<1	-	-	
Death	-	-	-	-	-	<1	<1	<1	-	-	
Decreased appetite	-	-	-	-	-	<1	<1	<1	-	-	
Decreased mental activity	-	-	-	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-	
Dental pain	-	-	-	-	-	<1	<1	<1	-	-	
Dry mouth	-	-	-	-	<5	-	-	-	-	-	
Earache	-	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1	
Ear hemorrhage	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1		-	
Epistaxis	-	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1	
Eye pain	-	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Facial edema	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1	
Fever	-	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1	
Heaviness sensation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1	
Hiccups	-	-	-	-	-	<1	<1	<1	-	-	
Hyperhidrosis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1	
Infection (various)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1	
Irritability	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1	





	Single Entity										
Adverse Event(s)	Almotriptan	Eletriptan	Frovatriptan	Naratriptan	Rizatriptan	Sumatriptan Injection	Sumatriptan Nasal Spray	Sumatriptan Oral Tablets	Zolmitriptan	Sumatriptan/ naproxen	
Jittery	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1	
Lacrimation disorder	-	<1	<1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Lethargy	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1	
Leukopenia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1	
Lymphadenopathy	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1	
Malaise	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1	
Miscarriage	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<1		
Motion sickness	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1	
Mouth/tongue discomfort	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	-	-		
Neck/throat/jaw pain/tightness/ Pressure	-	-	-	-	-	2-5	-	2-3	4-10	3	
Neurological/ psychiatric abnormalities	-	-	-	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-	
Numbness of tongue	-	-	-	-	-	<1	<1	<1	-	-	
Optic neuropathy (ischemic)	-	-	-	-	-	<1	<1	<1	-	-	
Oral mucosal blistering	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1	
Oropharyngeal edema	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1	
Pain at injection site	-	-	-	-	-	59	-	-	-	-	
Peripheral edema	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1	
Pressure sensation	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	1-3	-	-	
Raynaud's syndrome	-	-	-	-	-	<1	<1	<1	-	-	
Sedation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1	
Seizure	-	-	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Sensation changes	-	-	-	-	-	<1	<1	<1	-	-	
Shock	-	<1	-	-		<1	<1	<1	-	-	
Speech disorder	-	<1	<1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stomatitis	-	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stroke	-	-	-	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-	
Syncope	<1	-	<1	-	<1	<1	<1	1	<1	-	
Systemic lupus erythematosus	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1	
Temperature intolerance	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1	
Thirst	-	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1	
Thrombophlebitis	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	





Therapeutic Class Review: selective serotonin agonists

		Single Entity								Combination
Adverse Event(s)	Almotriptan	Eletriptan	Frovatriptan	Naratriptan	Rizatriptan	Sumatriptan	Sumatriptan	Sumatriptan	Zolmitriptan	Sumatriptan/
						Injection	Nasal Spray	Oral Tablets		naproxen
Tightness feeling	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-
Tinnitus	-	<1	1	-	<1	-	-	1	<1	≤1
Tongue edema	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	≤1
Vision abnormalities	-	<1	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	≤1
Vision loss	-	-	-	-	-	<1	<1	<1	-	-
Xerostomia	1	2-4	-	-	-	<1	<1	<1	3-5	2

CPK=creatinine phosphokinase, TSH=thyrotropin stimulating hormone





Percent not specified.Event not reported.

Contraindications / Precautions 4-12

The use of 5-HT-1 receptor agonists should not be used for the treatment of hemiplegic or basilar migraine. These agents are also contraindicated in presence of a medical history or signs or symptoms of ischemic cardiac (e.g., stable angina of effort and vasospastic forms of angina such as the Prinzmetal variant), cerebrovascular (strokes of any type as well as transient ischemic attacks), or peripheral vascular syndromes, including ischemic bowel disease. Both peripheral vascular ischemia and colonic ischemia with abdominal pain and bloody diarrhea have been reported. The use of 5-HT-1 agonists have also been reported to cause vasospastic reactions other than coronary artery vasospasm, so caution should be employed when initiating anti-migraine therapies in this subpopulation. Additionally, these agents should not be administered to patients with other significant underlying cardiovascular diseases or in patients with uncontrolled hypertension, since these agents may increase blood pressure.

Administration of a 5-HT-1 agonist should not be used within 24 hours of treatment with another 5-HT-1 agonist, an ergotamine-containing or ergot-type medication like dihydroergotamine or methysergide.

Warning for Treximet^{®12}

Increased Cardiovascular and Gastrointestinal Risks

Cardiovascular Risk: Treximet[®] may cause an increased risk of serious cardiovascular thrombotic events, myocardial infarction, and stroke, which can be fatal. This risk may increase with duration of use. Patients with cardiovascular disease or risk factors for cardiovascular disease may be at greater risk.

Gastrointestinal Risk: Treximet[®] contains a nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID). NSAID-containing products cause an increased risk of serious gastrointestinal adverse events including bleeding, ulceration, and perforation of the stomach or intestines, which can be fatal. These events can occur at any time during use and without warning symptoms. Elderly patients are at greater risk for serious gastrointestinal events.

Drug Interactions

Table 7. Drug Interactions⁷⁹

Generic Name	Interacting	Potential Result
	Medication or Disease	
Selective serotonin agonists (all)	Citalopram, escitalopram, duloxetine, fluoxetine, fluvoxamine, nefazodone, paroxetine, sertraline, venlafaxine	A "serotonin syndrome," including central nervous system (CNS) irritability, motor weakness, shivering, myoclonus, and altered consciousness may occur in some patients. Rapid accumulation of serotonin in the CNS may occur. If coadministration of these agents is indicated, start with low dosages and closely monitor the patient.
Eletriptan, frovatriptan, naratriptan, rizatriptan, sumatriptan, zolmitriptan, sumatriptan, sumatriptan/naproxen	Ergot alkaloids (dihydroergotamine, ergotamine)	The risk of vasospastic reactions may be increased. Possibly additive vasospastic effects. Use of 5-HT ₁ agonists within 24 hours of treatment with an ergot-containing medication is contraindicated.
Almotriptan, eletriptan, sumatriptan/naproxen	Azole antifungals/ CYP3A4 inhibitors (e.g., fluconazole. ketoconazole, itraconazole, voriconazole)	Plasma concentrations of certain 5-HT ₁ receptor agonists as well as naproxen may be elevated, increasing the pharmacologic and adverse effects. Inhibition of certain 5-HT ₁ receptor agonists and first-pass metabolism (CYP3A4) or decreased renal clearance by certain azole antifungal agents is suspected. Eletriptan should not be taken within 72 hours of itraconazole or ketoconazole, and almotriptan should not be taken within 7 days of itraconazole or ketoconazole.





Generic Name	Interacting Medication or Disease	Potential Result
Naratriptan, rizatriptan, sumatriptan, zolmitriptan, sumatriptan/naproxen	Sibutramine	A "serotonin syndrome," including CNS irritability, motor weakness, shivering, myoclonus, and altered consciousness may occur. The serotonergic effects of these agents may be additive. Monitor the patient for adverse effects if concurrent use cannot be avoided.
Rizatriptan, sumatriptan, zolmitriptan, sumatriptan/naproxen	Monoamine oxidase inhibitors (MAOIs) (e.g., isocarboxazid, phenelzine, tranylcypromine)	Inhibition of metabolism via MAO, subtype-A. Use of certain 5-HT ₁ agonists concomitantly with or within 2 weeks following the discontinuation of an MAOI is contraindicated. If it is necessary to use such agents together, naratriptan appears to be less likely to interact with MAOIs. A reduction in metabolism may increase sumatriptan levels by 7-fold and increase the risk of cardiac toxicity.
Rizatriptan	Propranolol	Rizatriptan plasma concentrations may be elevated, increasing the pharmacologic and adverse effects. Inhibition of rizatriptan metabolism (MAO, subtype-A) by propranolol is suspected.
Sumatriptan/naproxen	Anticoagulants (e.g., dalteparin, enoxaparin, heparin, warfarin)	Risk of hemorrhagic adverse reactions may be increased due to the naproxen component. Caution should be exercised when using anticoagulants and naproxen concurrently. Routine monitoring of prothrombin times (PT) and signs of bleeding, especially from the gastrointestinal tract should be employed.
Sumatriptan/naproxen	Bisphosphonates (e.g., alendronate, etidronate, pamidronate)	Bisphosphonates and naproxen may both synergistically increase the risk of gastrointestinal (GI) adverse reactions, especially gastric ulcers. Caution should be exercised with concurrent administration along with routine monitoring.
Sumatriptan/naproxen	Lithium	Renal lithium clearance may be reduced by naproxen by up to 20%. When initiating or discontinuing non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) therapy or if changes are made to the dose or frequency, lithium levels should be monitored every 4 to 5 days until stable and observe patients for clinical changes.
Sumatriptan/naproxen	Methotrexate	Naproxen may contribute to reduced renal clearance and increased methotrexate toxicity. Coadministration of some NSAIDs with high-dose methotrexate has resulted in death from severe hematologic and GI toxicities. Renal function and methotrexate levels should be monitored.

Dosage and Administration

Table 8. Dosing and Administration⁴⁻¹²

Generic Name	Adult Dose	Pediatric Dose	Availability
Single Entity P	roduct		
Almotriptan	Migraine, with or without aura:	Safety and efficacy in	Oral tablet:
-	Oral: initial, 6.25-12.5 mg, may	children have not been	6.25 mg





Generic Name	Adult Dose	Pediatric Dose	Availability
Ivaille	repeat after 2 hours; maximum 2 doses per 24 hours	established.	12.5 mg
Eletriptan	Migraine, acute treatment: Oral: initial, 20-40 mg, may repeat after 2 hours if headache returns; maximum single dose, 40 mg; maximum daily dose, 80 mg	Safety and efficacy in children have not been established.	Oral tablet: 20 mg 40 mg
Frovatriptan	Migraine: Oral: initial, 2.5 mg, may repeat after 2 hours; maximum, 7.5 mg per 24 hours	Safety and efficacy in children have not been established.	Oral tablet: 2.5 mg
Naratriptan	Migraine, with or without aura, acute treatment: Oral: initial, 1-2.5 mg, may repeat once after 4 hours; maximum, 5 mg per 24 hours	Safety and efficacy in children have not been established.	Oral tablet: 1 mg 2.5 mg
Rizatriptan	Migraine, with or without aura: acute treatment: Oral: 5 to 10 mg, may repeat after 2 hours; maximum, 30 mg per 24 hours	Safety and efficacy in children have not been established.	Oral tablet: 5 mg 10 mg Oral tablet, disintegrating: 5 mg 10 mg
Sumatriptan	Migraine: Oral: initial, 25-100 mg, repeat after 2 hours if needed; maximum 200 mg per 24 hours Subcutaneous: initial, 6 mg, repeat in 1 hour if needed; maximum 6 mg per dose and 12 mg per 24 hours; lower doses may be used if side effects are dose limiting Nasal spray: initial, 5-20 mg, if headache returns may repeat dose once after 2 hours; maximum, 40 mg per 24 hours Cluster headache: Subcutaneous: initial, 6 mg, repeat in 1 hour if needed; maximum 6 mg per dose and 12 mg per 24 hours; lower doses may be used if side effects are dose limiting	Safety and efficacy in children have not been established.	Nasal spray: 5 mg 20 mg Oral tablet: 25 mg 50 mg 100 mg Subcutaneous injection: 4 mg/0.5 mL 6 mg/0.5 mL
Zolmitriptan	Migraine, with or without aura, acute treatment: Oral: initial, 2.5 mg (or lower), may repeat after 2 hours; maximum 10 mg per 24 hours	Safety and efficacy in children have not been established.	Nasal spray: 5 mg Oral tablet: 2.5 mg 5 mg





Generic Name	Adult Dose	Pediatric Dose	Availability
	Intranasal: initial, 5 mg into one nostril, may repeat after 2 hours; maximum 10 mg per 24 hours		Oral tablet, disintegrating: 2.5 mg 5 mg
Combination P	roducts		
Sumatriptan/ naproxen	Migraine, with or without aura, acute treatment: Oral: 1 tablet (85 mg/500 mg), may repeat after 2 hours; maximum 2 tablets per 24 hours	Safety and efficacy in children have not been established.	Oral tablet: 85 mg sumatriptan/ 500 mg naproxen sodium

Clinical Guidelines

Table 9. Clinical Guidelines

Clinical Guideline	Recommendation(s)*
American Academy	Acute migraine attacks, mild to moderate:
of Neurology:	First-line therapy consists of oral nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs
Practice	(NSAIDs). The NSAIDs that are rated evidence level grade A and judged to
Parameter:	have the best scientific and clinical impression of effect are aspirin,
Evidence-Based	ibuprofen, naproxen sodium, and the combination of the three agents,
Guidelines for	acetaminophen (APAP), aspirin (ASA), and caffeine.
Migraine Headache ⁸⁰	Acute migraine attacks, moderate to severe: Triptans (i.e., naratriptan, rizatriptan, sumatriptan, and zolmitriptan) are
	 effective and relatively safe for the acute treatment of migraine headaches and are an appropriate initial treatment choice in patients with moderate to severe migraine who have no contraindications for its use Initial treatment with any triptan is a reasonable choice for moderate to severe headaches or in migraine regardless of severity that has not resulted in adequate relief from the administration of nonspecific medication (e.g., NSAIDs, non-opiates, combination analgesics, etc.). Experts recommend limiting acute therapy to two headache days per week on a regular basis. Opiate analgesics, particularly butorphanol nasal spray or oral combinations such as APAP with codeine should only be used on a limited basis as rescue therapy. For treatment of status migrainosus, the therapy of choice in the emergency department (ED) should be intravenous (IV) dihydroergotamine (DHE) plus antiemetics. Intramuscular (IM) or IV prochlorperazine as needed should be chosen as the first-line antiemetic in the ED.
American Academy of Neurology/Child Neurology Society:	 Ibuprofen should be considered as first-line therapy. Acetaminophen can also be used as an alternative option. Sumatriptan nasal spray may also be used when the above analgesics fail;
Practice	there is no data to support or contest the use of oral triptans in this
Parameter:	population and inadequate data to draw conclusions on the efficacy of
Pharmacological	subcutaneous sumatriptan.
Treatment of	
Migraine	
Headache in	
Children and	
Adolescents ⁸¹	





Clinical Guideline	Pocommondation(c)*
Clinical Guideline American Academy of Family Physicians (AAFP)/American College of Physicians- American Society of Internal Medicine (ACP-ASIM): Guideline on the Management and Prevention of Migraines ⁸²	Use NSAIDs as first-line therapy. In patients whose migraines fail to respond to NSAIDs, use migraine-specific agents. Recommended agents include intranasal DHE, oral naratriptan, oral rizatriptan, SC or oral sumatriptan, and oral zolmitriptan. Select a non-oral route of administration for patients whose migraines present early with nausea or vomiting as a significant component of the symptom complex. Treat nausea with an antiemetic. Acute therapies should be limited to no more than two times per week to guard against medication-overuse headache (or drug-induced headache) per expert opinion.
US Headache Consortium: Evidence-Based Guidelines for Migraine Headache in the Primary Care Setting ^{83,84}	 Use migraine-specific agents (triptans, DHE, ergotamine) in patients with severe migraine and in patients whose migraines respond poorly to NSAIDs or combination analgesics, such as aspirin-acetaminophen-caffeine. Recommended medications, based on at least two double-blind, placebo-controlled trials and clinical impression of effect, include the following: oral acetaminophen-aspirin-caffeine; oral aspirin; intranasal butorphanol; SC, IM, IV or intranasal DHE; IV DHE plus an antiemetic; oral ibuprofen; oral naproxen sodium; oral naratriptan; IV prochlorperazine; oral rizatriptan; SC, intranasal, or oral sumatriptan; oral zolmitriptan. Select a non-oral route of administration for patients whose migraines present early with nausea or vomiting as a significant component of the symptom complex. Consider self-administered rescue medication (e.g., an opioid that a patient can use at home when other treatments have failed) for patients with severe migraine that do not respond well to other treatments. When possible, limit acute therapy to two days per week.
European Federation of Neurological Societies (EFNS) Guideline on the Drug Treatment of Migraine—Report of an EFNS Task Force Federation of	 Acute migraine attack: First line agents for mild or moderate migraine attacks include: acetylsalicylic acid, ibuprofen, naproxen, diclofenac, paracetamol (acetaminophen), acetylsalicylic acid plus paracetamol plus caffeine. 5-HT₁ receptor agonists are specific migraine medications and should not be administered in other headache disorders except cluster headache. Triptans are often effective in patients not responding to NSAID therapies. The use of triptans should be restricted to maximum 10 days/month to avoid inducing drug overuse ("rebound") headaches.

Conclusions

Migraine is a common disorder with a one year prevalence rate in the United States (U.S.) of approximately 13%. ⁸⁶ Most migraine sufferers require pharmacologic treatment. Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) are considered first-line therapy by most organizations. The U.S. Headache Consortium recommends migraine specific agents such as the selective serotonin agonists for patients with severe migraine and in patients whose migraines respond poorly to NSAIDs or combination analgesics. ^{83,84} A non-oral medication is recommended for patients whose migraine presents early with nausea or vomiting as a significant component of the symptom complex. The Consortium does not give preference to one selective serotonin agonist over another.

All of the selective serotonin agonists are approved for the acute treatment of migraine attacks with or without aura. The subcutaneous formulation of sumatriptan is also indicated for cluster headaches. Rizatriptan and zolmitriptan are available as orally disintegrating tablets, which dissolve rapidly without





water. These products are not absorbed through the buccal mucosa so they have the same rate of absorption as the oral tablets.⁷⁷ Sumatriptan and zolmitriptan are also available as nasal formulations.

A meta-analysis of 53 clinical trials including over 24,000 patients concluded that all of the available oral selective serotonin agonists are effective and well tolerated. Almotriptan 12.5 mg, eletriptan 80 mg and rizatriptan 10 mg produced the most consistent success; however, eletriptan was not as tolerable as sumatriptan 100 mg.²⁷ Of note that this meta-analysis was published prior to the reformulation of sumatriptan tablets in January 2004.

Numerous clinical trials have been conducted comparing the safety and efficacy of the selective serotonin agonists to each other. The comparative studies only use patient-reported assessment systems to establish efficacy, a potential limitation since pain can be biased by age, gender, cultural, and other factors. Additionally, the 2-hour and 4-hour post-dose time period, which is commonly used, is arbitrary and may not be clinically meaningful. Still another significant shortcoming is that clinical trials have not been conducted based on stage and severity of migraine attacks in varying patient populations. Another limiting factor includes under-dosing of the comparator drug and/or the lack of enrollment of patients who have failed a comparator drug.

Of the head-to-head studies that do demonstrate statistically significant differences in headache response rates, the statistical difference tends to be less than 10%. The clinical consequence of the statistical difference tends to be less than 10% and thus the clinical significance of this small difference is not known. Although clinical trials have compared the selective serotonin agonists head-to-head, there is insufficient clinical evidence to conclude that one 5-HT₁ agonist is safer or more efficacious than another when administered at equivalent doses. All selective serotonin agonists have been determined to be safe, effective, and well tolerated with comparable side-effect profiles. While the selective serotonin agonists have different pharmacokinetic properties, in general, these differences have not resulted in significantly different clinical outcomes.

There is insufficient clinical evidence to conclude that one selective serotonin agonist is safer or more efficacious than another. Therefore, all products within the class reviewed are comparable to each other in terms of clinical outcomes. The availability of sumatriptan offers a clinically effective agent in a generic formulation in a variety of dosage forms, including tablets, subcutaneous injections, and nasal spray. The newest product, available as a fixed-dose combination of sumatriptan and naproxen was launched in April 2008 in anticipation of the generic availability of sumatriptan. The combination product has been shown to effectively manage migraine headaches in clinical trials; however a clear benefit of this agent over the concomitant administration of generic sumatriptan and naproxen sodium has not been established. Clinical trials comparing the combination product to the individual components have been evaluated; however clinical trials of Treximet[®] vs sumatriptan plus naproxen are lacking. Therefore, conclusions regarding direct comparisons and clinical efficacy outcomes are unable to be made.

Recommendations

In recognition of the role of the 5-HT-1 receptor agonists as abortive therapy for patients with migraine headaches and that the safety and efficacy profiles are comparable among agents within the class, no changes are recommended to the current approval criteria.

Oral Axert[®], Imitrex[®], Maxalt[®] and Maxalt MLT[®] are preferred on The Office of Vermont Health Access (OVHA) preferred drug list.

Oral Amerge[®], Frova[®], Imitrex[®], and Relpax[®] require prior authorization with the following approval criteria:

• The patient has had a documented side-effect, allergy or treatment failure to Axert[®], Maxalt[®], and Imitrex[®].





Zomig® nasal spray requires prior authorization with the following approval criteria:

The patient has had a documented side-effect, allergy or treatment failure with Imitrex[®] Nasal Spray.

Sumatriptan tablet, injection, or nasal spray requires prior authorization with the following approval criteria:

The patient has had a documented intolerance to brand Imitrex[®].

In addition, since there is a lack of clinical trial data demonstrating better clinical outcomes with the combination formulation compared to co-administration of the individual components as separate entities, no changes are recommended to the current Treximet® approval criteria:

- The patient had a documented side effect, allergy, or treatment failure with 2 preferred Triptans AND
- The patient is unable to take the individual components (sumatriptan and naproxen) separately

In recognition of the benefits of migraine prophylaxis, it is recommended that the following approval criteria addressing above the quantity limits requests be added:

The patient is taking a medication for migraine prophylaxis

If the patient has more than 15 headaches per month, the patient is being followed by a headache specialist, or a neurologist.

References

- 1. Adelman JU, Adelman RD. Current options for the prevention and treatment of migraine. Clin Ther. 2001;23(6):772-88.
- 2. Lance JW. 5-hydroxytryptamine and its role in migraine. Eur Neurol. 1991:31:279-81.
- 3. Bateman DN. Sumatriptan. Lancet. 1993;341:221-4.
- 4. Frova® [package insert]. Chadds Ford, PA: Endo Pharmaceuticals Inc.; 2007 April.
- 5. Imitrex® Tablets and Imitrex® Nasal Spray [package inserts]. Research Triangle Park, NC: GlaxoSmithKline; 2006 June.
- 6. Imitrex® Injection [package insert]. Research Triangle Park, NC: GlaxoSmithKline; 2007 Oct.
- 7. Amerge® [package insert]. Research Triangle Park, NC: GlaxoSmithKline; 2007 Oct.
- Axert [package insert]. Raritan, NJ: Ortho-McNeil Pharmaceutical, Inc.; 2007 May.
 Maxalt , Maxalt Tablets and Orally Disintegrating Tablets [package inserts]. Whitehouse Station, NJ: Merck & Co, Inc.; 2008 Feb.
- Relpax[®] [package insert]. New York, NY: Pfizer, Inc.; 2006 April.
 Zomig[®], Zomig-ZMT[®] Tablets and Orally Disintegrating Tablets, Zomig[®] Nasal Spray [package inserts]. Wilmington, DE: AstraZeneca; 2008 Oct.
- 12. Treximet [package insert]. Research Triangle Park (NC): GlaxoSmithKline; 2008 July.
- 13. Jhee SS, Shiovtz T, Crawford AW, Cutler NR. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of the triptan anti-migraine agents: a comparative review. Clin Pharmacokinet. 2001;40(3)189-205.
- 14. Wickersham RM, Novak KK, managing eds. Drug Facts and Comparisons. St. Louis, MO: Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc.: 2004-2006.
- 15. Cabarrocas X, Esbri R, Peris F, Ferrer P. Long-term efficacy and safety of oral almotriptan: interim analysis of a 1-year open study. Headache. 2001;41:57-62.
- 16. Diener HC, Gendolla A, Gerbert I, Beneke M. Almotriptan in migraine patients who respond poorly to oral sumatriptan: a double-blind, randomized trial. Headache. 2005;45:874-82.
- 17. Pascual J. Falk R. Docekal R. et al. Tolerability and efficacy of almotriptan in the long-term treatment of migraine. Eur Neurol. 2001;45:206-13.
- 18. Dowson AJ, Massiou H, Lainez JM, Cabarrocas X. Almotriptan is an effective and well-tolerated treatment for migraine pain: results of a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial. Cephalagia. 2002;22(6):453-61.





- 19. Dahlof CG, Tfelt-Hansen P, Massiou H, Fazekas A. Dose finding, placebo-controlled study of oral almotriptan in the acute treatment of migraine. Neurology. 2001;57(10):1811-7.
- 20. Dahlof C, Pascual J, Dodick DW, Dowson AJ. Efficacy, speed of action and tolerability of almotriptan in the acute treatment of migraine: pooled individual patient data from four randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trials. Cephalagia. 2006;26:400-8.
- 21. Colman SS, Brod MI, Krishnamurthy A, Rowland CR, Jirgens KJ, Gomez-Mancilla B. Treatment satisfaction, functional status, and health related quality of life of migrating patients treated with almotriptan or sumatriptan. Clin Ther. 2001;23(1):127-45.
- 22. Spierings EL, Gomez-Mancilla B, Grosz DE, Rowland CR, Whaley FS, Jirgens KJ. Oral almotriptan vs. oral sumatriptan in the abortive treatment of migraine: a double-blind, randomized, parallel-group, optimum-dose comparison. Arch Neurol. 2001;58(6):944-50.
- 23. Olesen J, Diener HC, Schoenen J, Hettiarachchi J. No effect of eletriptan administration during the aura phase of migraine. Eur J Neurol. 2004;11:671-7.
- 24. Farkkila M, Olesen J, Daholf C, Stovner LJ, ter Bruggen JP, Rasmussen S, Muirhead N, Sikes C. Eletriptan for the treatment of migraine in patients with previous poor response or tolerance to sumatriptan. Cephalagia. 2003;23:463-71.
- 25. Garcia-Ramos G, MacGregor EA, Hilliard B, Bordini CA, Leston J, Hettiarachchi J. Comparative efficacy of eletriptan vs. naratriptan in the acute treatment of migraine. Cephalalgia. 2003; 23:869–76.
- 26. Sheftell F, Ryan R, Pitman V. Efficacy, safety, and tolerability of oral eletriptan for treatment of acute migraine: a multicenter, double-blind, placebo-controlled study conducted in the United States. Headache. 2003;43:202-13.
- 27. Diener HC, Jansen JP, Reches A, Pascual J, Pitei D, Steiner TJ. Efficacy, tolerability and safety of oral eletriptan and ergotamine plus caffeine (Cafergot®) in the treatment of migraine: A multicentre, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled comparison. Eur Neurol. 2002;47:99-107.
- 28. Steiner TJ, Diener HC, MacGregor EA, Schoenen J, Muirhead N, Sikes CR. Comparative efficacy of eletriptan and zolmitriptan in the acute treatment of migraine. Cephalalgia. 2003; 23:942-52.
- 29. Goadsby PJ, Ferrari MD, Olesen J, Stovner LJ, Senard JM, Jackson JC, and Poole PH. Eletriptan in acute migraine: a double blind, placebo-controlled comparison to sumatriptan. Neurology. 2000;54(1):156-61.
- 30. Mandema JW, Cox E, and Alderman J. Therapeutic benefit of eletriptan compared to sumatriptan for the acute relief of migraine pain—results of a model-based meta-analysis that accounts for encapsulation. Cephalalgia.2005;25:715-25.
- 31. Mathew NT, Schoenen J, Winner P, Muirhead N, and Sikes CR. Comparative efficacy of eletriptan 40 mg versus sumatriptan 100 mg. Headache. 2003;43:214-22.
- 32. Schoenen J, Pascual J, Rasmussen S, Sun W, Sikes C, Hettiarachchi J. Patient preference for eletriptan 80 mg versus subcutaneous sumatriptan 6 mg: results of a crossover study in patients who have recently used subcutaneous sumatriptan. Eur J Neurol. 2005;25:108-17.
- 33. Sandrini G, Farkkila M, Burgess G, Forster E, and Haughie S. Eletriptan vs. sumatriptan a double-blind, placebo-controlled, multiple migraine attack study. Neurol. 2002;59:1210–7.
- 34. Ryan R. Geraud G, Goldstein J, Cady R, Keywood C. Clinical efficacy of frovatriptan: placebo-controlled studies. Headache. 2002;(42 Suppl 2):S84-92.
- 35. Cady R, Elkind A, Goldstein J, Keywood C. Randomized, placebo-controlled comparison of early use of frovatriptan in a migraine attack versus dosing after the headache has become moderate or severe. Curr Med Res Opin. 2004;20:1465-72.
- 36. Stark S, Spierings EL, McNeal S, Putnam GP, Bolden-Watson CP, O'Quinn S. Naratriptan efficacy in migraineurs who respond poorly to oral sumatriptan. Headache. 2000;40:513-20.
- 37. Klassen A, Elkind A, Asgharnejad M, Webster C, Laurenza A. Naratriptan is effective and well tolerated in the acute treatment of migraine: results of a double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group study. Headache. 1997;37:640-5.
- 38. Gobel H, Winter P, Boswell D, et al. Comparison of naratriptan and sumatriptan in recurrence-prone migraine patients. Clin Ther. 2000;22(8):981-9.
- 39. Ashcroft DM, Millson D. Naratriptan for the treatment of acute migraine: meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2004;13(2):73-82.
- 40. Mathew NT, Kailasam J, Meadors L. Early treatment of migraine with rizatriptan: a placebo-controlled study. Headache. 2004;44:669-73.





- 41. Ferrari MD, Loder E, McCarroll KA, Lines CR. Meta-analysis of rizatriptan efficacy in randomized controlled clinical trials. Cephalalgia. 2001; 21:129-36.
- 42. Oldman, AD. Smith, LA. McQuay, HJ. Moore, RA. Rizatriptan for acute migraine. Cochrane Pain, Palliative and Supportive Care Group Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 4, 2006.
- 43. Kolodny A, Polis A, Battisti WP, Johnson-Pratt L, Skobieranda F. Comparison of rizatriptan 5 mg and 10 mg tablets and sumatriptan 25 mg and 50 mg tablets. Cephalagia. 2004;24:540-6.
- 44. Láinez MJA, Evers S, Kinge E, Allais G, Allen C, Rao NA, Massaad R, Lis K. Preference for rizatriptan 10-mg wafer vs. eletriptan 40-mg tablet for acute treatment of migraine. Cephalalgia. 2006; 26:246–56.
- 45. Adelman JU, Lipton RB, Ferrari MD, Diener HC, McCarrol KA, Vandormael K, Lines CR. Comparison of rizatriptan and other triptans on stringent measures of efficacy. Neurology. 2001;57:1377-83.
- 46. Bomhof M, Paz J, Legg N, Allen C, Vandermael K, Patel K, and the Rizatriptan-Naratriptan Study Group. Comparison of rizatriptan 10 mg vs. naratriptan 2.5 in migraine. Euro Neurol. 1999;42:173-9.
- 47. Lipton RB, Pascual J, Goadsby PJ, Massiou H, McCarroll KA, Vandormael K, Jiang K, Lines CR. Effect of rizatriptan and other triptans on the nausea symptom of migraine: a post hoc analysis. Headache. 2001;41(8):754-63.
- 48. Cady RK, Wendt JK, Kirchner JR, Sargent JD, Rothrock JF, Skaggs H Jr. Treatment of acute migraine with subcutaneous sumatriptan. JAMA. 1991;265(21):2831-5.
- 49. Treatment of migraine attacks with sumatriptan. The Subcutaneous Sumatriptan International Study Group. New England Journal of Medicine. 1991;325(5):316-21.
- 50. Self-treatment of acute migraine with subcutaneous sumatriptan using an auto-injector device. Sumatriptan Auto-Injector Study Group. Eur Neurol. 1991;31:323-31.
- 51. Cutler N, Mushet GR, Davis R, Clements B, Whitcher L. Oral sumatriptan for the acute treatment of migraine: evaluation of three dosage strengths. Neurology.1995;45(suppl 7):S5-9.
- 52. Salonen R, Ashford E, Dahlöf C, et al. Intranasal sumatriptan for the acute treatment of migraine. J Neurol. 1994:241:463-9.
- 53. Winner P, Landy S, Richardson M, Ames M. Early intervention in migraine with sumatriptan tablets 50 mg verses 100 mg: a pooled analysis of data from six clinical trials. Clin Ther. 2005;27:1785-94.
- 54. Gershovich OE, Billups SJ, Delate T, et al. Assessment of clinical, service, and cost outcomes of a conversion program of sumatriptan to rizatriptan ODT in primary care patients with migraine headaches. J Manag Care Pharm. 2006;12:246-53.
- 55. Loder E, Brandes JL, Silberstein S, et al. Preference comparison of rizatriptan ODT 10-mg and sumatriptan 50-mg tablet in migraine. Headache 2001;41(8):745-53.
- 56. McCrory, DC. Gray, RN. Oral sumatriptan for acute migraine. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 4, 2006.
- 57. Cady RK, Sheftell F, Lipton RB, Quinn S, Jones M, Putnam G, Crisp A, Metz A, NcNeal S. Effect of early intervention with sumatriptan on migraine pain: Retrospective analyses of data from 3 clinical trials. Clin Ther. 2000;22:1035-48.
- 58. Geraud G, Olsen J, Pfaffenrath V, Tfelt-Hansen P, Zupping R, Diener HC, Sweet R. Comparison of the efficacy of zolmitriptan and sumatriptan: issues in migraine trial design. Cephalagia. 2000; 20:30-8.
- 59. Diener H, Gendolla A. Part IV: Effects of zolmitriptan orally disintegrating tablet on migraine symptoms and ability to perform normal activities: a post-marketing surveillance study in Germany. Curr Med Res Opin. 2005;21 Suppl 3:S18-24.
- 60. Spierings ELH, Rapoport AM, Dodick DW, et al. Acute treatment of migraine with zolmitriptan 5 mg orally disintegrating tablet. CNS Drugs. 2004;18:1133-41.
- 61. Loder EW, Dowson AJ, Spierings ELH. Part II: Clinical efficacy and tolerability of zolmitriptan orally disintegrating tablet in the acute treatment of migraine. Curr Med Res Opin. 2005;21 Suppl 3:S8-12.
- 62. Dowson AJ, Almqvist P. Part III: The convenience of, and patient preference for, zolmitriptan orally disintegrating tablet. Curr Med Res Opin. 2005;21 Suppl 3:S13-7.
- 63. Charlesworth BR, Dowson AJ, Purdy A, Becker WJ, Boes-Hansen S, Farkkila M. Speed of onset and efficacy of zolmitriptan nasal spray in the acute treatment of migraine: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, dose-ranging study versus zolmitriptan tablet. CNS Drugs. 2003;17:653-67.
- 64. Dowson AJ, Charlesworth BR, Prudy A, Becker WJ, Boes-Hansen S, Farkkila M. Tolerability and consistency of effect of zolmitriptan nasal spray in a long-term migraine treatment trial. CNS Drugs. 2003;17:839-51.





- 65. Ferrari MD, Goadsby PJ, Roon KI, Lipton RB. Triptans (serotonin, 5-HT1B/1D agonists) in migraine: detailed results and methods of a meta-analysis of 53 trials. Cephalagia.2002;22:633-58.
- 66. Brandes J, Kudrow D, Stark S, O'Carroll C, et al. Sumatriptan-Naproxen for Acute Treatment of Migraine: A Randomized Trial. JAMA, 2007 April; 297: 1443-54.
- 67. Landy S, DeRossett S, Rapoport A, Rothrock J, et al. Two Double-Blind, Multicenter, Randomized, Placebo-Controlled, Single-Dose Studies of Sumatriptan/Naproxen Sodium in the Acute Treatment of Migraine: Function, Productivity, and Satisfaction Outcomes. Med Gen Med, 2007; 9(2):53.
- 68. Silberstein S, Mannix L, Goldstein J, Couch J, et al. Multimechanistic (sumatriptan-naproxen) early intervention for the acute treatment of migraine. Neurology, 2008; 71:114-121.
- 69. Smith T, Blumenthal H, Diamond M, Mauskop A, et al. Sumatriptan/Naproxen Sodium for Migraine: Efficacy, Health Related Quality of Life, and Satisfaction Outcomes. Headache, 2007; 47:683-92.
- 70. Winner P, Cady R, Ruoff G, Frishberg B, et al. Twelve-Month Tolerability and Safety of Sumatriptan-Naproxen Sodium for the Treatment of Acute Migraine. Mayo Clin Proc. 2007; 82(1):61-68.
- 71. Allais G, Acuto G, Cabarrocas X, Esbri R, Benedetto G, Bussone G. Efficacy and tolerability of almotriptan versus zolmitriptan for the acute treatment of menstrual migraine. Neurol Sci. 2006; 27:S193-7.
- 72. Silberstein SD, Elkind AH, Schreiber C, Keywood C. A randomized trial of frovatriptan for the intermittent prevention of menstrual migraine. Neurology. 2004;63:261-9.
- 73. Siow HC, Pozo-Rosich P and Silberstein SD. Frovatriptan for the treatment of cluster headaches. Cephalagia. 2004;24:1045-8.
- 74. Gobel H, Linder V, Heinze A, Ribbat M, Deushl G. Acute therapy for cluster headache with sumatriptan: Findings of a one-year long-term study. Neurology. 1998;51(3):908-11.
- 75. Ekbom K, Monstad I, Prusinski A, Cole JA, Pilgrim AJ, Noronha D. Subcutaneous sumatriptan in the acute treatment of cluster headache: a dose comparison study. The Sumatriptan Cluster Headache Study Group. Acta Neurol Scand. 1993;88(1):63-9.
- 76. Elkind AH, Satin LZ, Nila A, Keywood C. Frovatriptan use in migraineurs with or at high risk of coronary artery disease. Headache. 2004;44:403-10.
- 77. Fleishaker JC, MeEnroe JD, Azie NE, Francom SF, Carel BJ. Cardiovascular effect of almotriptan in treated hypertensive patients. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2002;71;169-75.
- 78. Almotriptan, eletriptan, frovatriptan, naratriptan, rizatriptan, sumatriptan, zolmitriptan, sumatriptan, naproxen: drug information. In: Rose BD, ed. UpToDate. Waltham, MA: UpToDate; 2006.
- 79. Tatro DS, ed. Drug Interaction Facts. St. Louis, MO: Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc.; 2006.
- 80. Silberstein SD. Practice parameter: Evidence-based guidelines for migraine headache (an evidence-based review): report of the Quality Standards Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. Neurology. 2000.55;754-62.
- 81. Lewis D, Ashwal S, Hershey A et al. Practice Parameter: Pharmacological treatment of migraine headache in children and adolescents: report of the American Academy of Neurology Quality Standards Subcommittee and the Practice Committee of the Child Neurology Society. Neurology. 2004. 63;2215-24.
- 82. Snow V, Weiss K, Wall EM, Mottur-Pilson C; American Academy of Family Physicians; American College of Physicians-American Society of Internal Medicine. Pharmacologic management of acute attacks of migraine and prevention of migraine headache. Ann Intern Med. 2002 Nov 19;137(10):840-9.
- 83. Matchar DB, Young WB, Rosenberg JH, et al. Evidence-based guidelines for migraine headache in the primary care setting; pharmacologic management of acute attacks. Summary Available at http://www.aan.com/professionals/practice/guidelines/migraine/clinician_summary_migraine.pdf. Accessed February 11, 2009.
- 84. Ramadan NM, Silberstein SD, Freitag FG, Gilbert TT, Frishberg BM. Evidence-based guidelines for migraine headache in the primary care setting: pharmacological management for prevention of migraine. Available at www.aan.com/professionals/practice/guidelines.cfm.
- 85. Evers S, Afra J, Frese A, Goadsby PJ, Linde M, May A, Sandor PS. EFNS guideline on the drug treatment of migraine report of an EFNS task force. Eur J Neurol. 2006 Jun;13(6):560-72.
- 86. Lipton RB, Stewart WF, Diamond S et al. Prevalence and burden of migraine in the United States: data from the American Migraine Study II. Headache. 2001;41:646-57.



